

# Some Fixed Point Theorems on $b_2$ - Metric Spaces

Bheem Singh Patel<sup>1</sup>, Zaheer Kareem Ansari<sup>2</sup>, Dharmendra Kumar<sup>3</sup>, Arun Garg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Madhyanchal Professional University, Bhopal, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, JSS Academy of Technical Education, Noida, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics Satyawati College (Evening), University of Delhi, Delhi, India

## Email address:

Bheemsir117@gmail.com (Bheem Singh Patel), zkansari10@gmail.com (Zaheer Kareem Ansari),

dharmendra\_kumar215@yahoo.com (Dharmendra Kumar), gargaran1956@gmail.com (Arun Garg)

## To cite this article:

Bheem Singh Patel, Zaheer Kareem Ansari, Dharmendra Kumar, Arun Garg. Some Fixed Point Theorems on  $b_2$  - Metric Spaces. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal*. Vol. 12, No. 4, 2023, pp. 72-78. doi: 10.11648/j.pamj.20231204.12

**Received:** August 25, 2023; **Accepted:** September 14, 2023; **Published:** September 27, 2023

---

**Abstract:** In this study, we generalize both b-metric spaces and 2-metric spaces into a new class of generalized metric spaces that we call  $b_2$ -metric spaces. Then, under various contractive circumstances in partially ordered spaces, we demonstrate a few fixed point theorems in  $b_2$ -metric space. Many Mathematician gave the concept of  $b_2$  -metric spaces as a generalization of 2-metric space. The purpose of this research article to established some results of 2-metric space proved by the Arun Garg et al. in  $b_2$  -metric spaces and prove new results.

**Keywords:** Fixed Point, b - Metric Space, 2-Metric Space, Partial Order Set, Generalized Contractive Mappings

---

## 1. Introduction

The idea of metric spaces in functional analysis was initially introduced by Maurice Frechet in 1906 [29]. Mathematicians have since developed the idea of metric spaces in a variety of ways.

Czerwik and many other writers investigated, introduced, and proved various fixed point solutions for single and multi valued mappings in 1993 [1, 2]. Czerwik also studied, introduced, and proved the idea of a b-metric space.

On the other hand, Gähler offered the idea of a 2-metric in [3], using the encouraging example of a triangle's area in  $R^3$ . For mappings in these spaces, multiple fixed point results were also attained. It is important to keep in mind that 2-metric spaces are not topologically equal to metric spaces, unlike many other recent generalizations of metric spaces, and there is no direct connection between the conclusions produced in 2-metric and in metric spaces.

Different Mathematician studied the various types of mappings in b-Metric Space and 2-Metric Spaces [4-26].

As a generalization of both 2-metric and b-metric spaces, Zead Mustafa et. al. [27] offer the idea of  $b_2$ -metric spaces in their study. Then, in partially ordered  $b_2$ -metric spaces, he established a few fixed point theorems under various contractive circumstances.

We expand the findings of the b-complete b-metric space in to  $b_2$ -metric spaces in this study.

## 2. Mathematical Preliminaries

The definitions provided by Zead Mustafa et al. [27] are as follows:

Definition 1: Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set,  $s \geq 1$  be a real number and let  $\delta: \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  be a map satisfying the following conditions:

1. For every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  there exists a point  $z \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\delta(x, y, z) \neq 0$
2. If two of three points  $x, y, z$  are same then  $\delta(x, y, z) = 0$
3. The symmetry:

$$\delta(x, y, z) = \delta(x, z, y) = \delta(y, x, z) = \delta(y, z, x) = \delta(z, x, y) = \delta(z, y, x) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}.$$

4. The rectangle inequality:  
$$\delta(x, y, z) \leq s[\delta(x, y, t) + \delta(y, z, t) + \delta(z, x, t)] \text{ for all } x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Definition 2: Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a  $b_2$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, \delta)$ . Then

1.  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be  $b_2$ -convergent to  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , written as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  if for all  $a \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x, a) = 0$ .
2.  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be a  $b_2$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$  if for all  $a \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_m, a) = 0$ .
3.  $(\mathcal{X}, \delta)$  is said to be  $b_2$ -complete if every  $b_2$ -Cauchy sequence is a  $b_2$ -Convergent sequence.

Some simple  $b_2$ -metric space examples are provided below [27]:

Example 1: Let  $\phi = [0, \infty)$  and  $\delta(x, y, z) = [xy, yz, zx]^p$  if  $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$ , and otherwise

$\delta(x, y, z) = 0$ , where  $p \geq 1$  is a real number. Evidently, from convexity of function

$f(x) = x^p$  for  $x \geq 0$ , then by Jensen inequality, we have

$$(a + b + c)^p \leq 3^{p-1}(a^p + b^p + c^p).$$

So, one can obtain the result that  $(\mathcal{X}, \delta)$   $b_2$ -metric space with  $s \leq 3^{p-1}$ .

Example 2: Let a mapping  $\delta: \mathcal{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be defined by

$$\frac{1}{s^2} \delta(x, y, a) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq s^2 \delta(x, y, a)$$

for all " $a$ " in  $\mathcal{X}$ . In particular, if  $y_n = y$  is constant, then

$$\frac{1}{s} \delta(x, y, a) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq s \delta(x, y, a)$$

*Proof:* It is simple to observe that using the rectangle inequality in the provided  $b_2$ -metric space

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x, y, a) &= \delta(x, a, y) \leq s\delta(x, a, x_n) + s\delta(a, y, x_n) + s\delta(y, x, x_n) \\ &\leq s\delta(x, a, x_n) + s^2[\delta(a, y, y_n) + \delta(y, x_n, y_n) + \delta(x_n, a, y_n)] + s\delta(y, x_n, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) &= \delta(x_n, a, y_n) \leq s\delta(x_n, a, x) + s\delta(a, y_n, x) + s\delta(y_n, x, x_n) \\ &\leq s\delta(x_n, a, x) + s^2[\delta(a, y_n, y) + \delta(y_n, x, y) + \delta(x, a, y)] + s\delta(y_n, x, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

We get the desired outcome by using  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as the upper limit in the second inequality and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as the lower limit in the first inequality.

If  $y_n = y$ , then

$$\delta(x, y, a) \leq s\delta(x, y, x_n) + s\delta(y, a, x_n) + s\delta(a, x, x_n)$$

And

$$\delta(x_n, y, a) \leq s\delta(x_n, y, x) + s\delta(y, a, x) + s\delta(a, x_n, x)$$

Main Results:

We begin by demonstrating a lemma that states the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $b_2$ -Cauchy sequence.

$$\delta(x, y, z) = \min\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}$$

Then  $\delta$  is a 2-metric on  $\mathcal{R}$ , i. e., the following inequality holds:

$$\delta(x, y, z) \leq \delta(x, y, t) + \delta(y, z, t) + \delta(z, x, t)$$

for arbitrary real numbers  $x, y, z, t$ . Using convexity of the function

$f(x) = x^p$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  for  $p \geq 1$ , we obtain that  $\delta_p = \min\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}^p$  is a  $b_2$ -metric on  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $s < 3^{p-1}$ .

Proposition 1: Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \delta)$  and  $(\mathcal{X}', \delta')$  be two  $b_2$ -metric spaces. Then a

Mapping  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  is  $b_2$ -continuous at a point  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  if and only if it is  $b_2$ -sequentially continuous at  $x$ ; that is, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is  $b_2$ -

Convergent to  $x$ ,  $\{fx_n\}$  is  $b_2$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

Lemma 1 [27]: Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \delta)$  be a  $b_2$ -metric space and suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are  $b_2$ -convergent to  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. Then we have

Lemma 2: Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \partial)$  be a  $b_2$ -metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and  $\Gamma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a mapping.

Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$  induced by  $x_{n+1} = \Gamma x_n$  such that

$$\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \alpha \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \quad (1)$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  is a constant. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $b_2$ -Cauchy sequence.

*Proof:* Suppose  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $x_{n+1} = \Gamma x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For the lemma's proof, three separate cases are taken into account.

Case I: Let  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$ . By (1), we have

$$\leq \alpha^3 \partial(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}, a)$$

$$\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \alpha \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)$$

$$\leq \alpha^n \partial(x_0, x_1, a)$$

$$\leq \alpha^2 \partial(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, a)$$

Thus, for any  $n \geq m$  and  $n, m \in N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(x_m, x_n, a) &\leq s[\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + \partial(x_{m+1}, x_n, a)] \\ &\leq s\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + s^2[\partial(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, a) + \partial(x_{m+2}, x_n, a)] \\ &\leq s\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + s^2\partial(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, a) + s^3[\partial(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}, a) + \partial(x_{m+3}, x_n, a)] \\ &\leq s\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + s^2\partial(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, a) + s^3\partial(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}, a) + s^4\partial(x_{m+3}, x_{m+4}, a) + \\ &\quad \dots + s^{n-m-1}\partial(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, a) + s^{n-m-1}\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \\ &\leq s\alpha^m \partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^2\alpha^{m+1}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^3\alpha^{m+2}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^4\alpha^{m+3}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + \\ &\quad \dots + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-2}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-1}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) \\ &\leq s\alpha^m[1 + s\alpha + s^2\alpha^2 + s^3\alpha^3 + s^4\alpha^4 + \dots + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-m-2} + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-m-1}]\partial(x_0, x_1, a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq s\alpha^m \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (s\alpha)^i \right] \partial(x_0, x_1, a)$$

$$= \frac{s\alpha^m}{1-s\alpha} \partial(x_0, x_1, a), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ which implies that } \{x_n\}$$

is a  $b_2$ - Cauchy sequence.

In other words  $\{\Gamma^n x_0\}$  is a  $b_2$ - Cauchy sequence.

Case II: Now, let  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{s}, 1)$ , ( $s > 1$ ). In this case, we have

$\alpha^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so there is

$n_0 \in N$ , such that  $\alpha^{n_0} < s$ . Thus, by case I, we claim that

$\{(\Gamma^{n_0})^n x_0\}_{n=0}^{\infty} := \{x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2}, \dots, x_{n_0+n}, \dots\}$  is a

$b_2$ - Cauchy sequence. Then

$$\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} := \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0-1}, \dots\} \cup$$

$$\{x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2}, \dots, x_{n_0+n}, \dots\}$$

is a  $b_2$ - Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Case III: Let  $s=1$ , then the proof of lemma is similar to case I.

Now we prove the theorems of Arun Garg et. al [28] in  $b_2$ - metric spaces:

Theorem 3: Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a  $b_2$ - metric

$\partial$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $(\mathcal{X}, \partial)$  is a  $b_2$ - complete metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$

and  $\Gamma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} s\partial(\Gamma x, \Gamma y, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x, y, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x, \Gamma x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x, \Gamma y, a) \partial(y, \Gamma x, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \\ &\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x, \Gamma x, a) \partial(x, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(y, \Gamma x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$  and  $\alpha_5$  are positive constant with  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) < 1$ . Then  $\Gamma$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , the iterative sequence  $\{\Gamma^n x\}$  ( $n \in N$ )  $b_2$ - converges to fixed point.

Proof: Assuming  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such, we create an iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{n+1} = \Gamma x_n$  ( $n \in N$ ).

If there exist  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ , then  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = \Gamma x_{n_0}$ , I.e.  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $\Gamma$ .

Without losing generality, let's move on, suppose  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all ( $n \in N$ ), then by (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &= s\partial(\Gamma x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a) \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \\
&\quad \alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x_n, x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \\
&\quad \alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x_n, x_n, a) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha_4 s[\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + (\alpha_2 + s\alpha_4) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \\
(s - \alpha_2 - s\alpha_4) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &= s\partial(\Gamma x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a) \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \\
&\quad \alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} \\
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha_5 s[\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\
(s - \alpha_2 - s\alpha_5) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (\alpha_1 + s\alpha_5) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Adding (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2s - 2\alpha_2 - s\alpha_4 - s\alpha_5) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (2\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \\
\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq \frac{(2\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5)}{(2s - 2\alpha_2 - s\alpha_4 - s\alpha_5)} \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \\
\alpha &= \frac{(2\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5)}{(2s - 2\alpha_2 - s\alpha_4 - s\alpha_5)}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) < 1$ , then  $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ . Thus by the Lemma (2),  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $b_2$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{X}, \partial)$  is a  $b_2$ -complete, then there exists some point  $x^*$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then by (2), we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
s\partial(\Gamma x, \Gamma y, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_n, x^*, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x^*, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \\
&\quad \alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} \\
&= \alpha_1 \partial(x_n, x^*, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_n, Tx^*, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)} + \\
&\quad \alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x^*, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  both the sides of (5), we get  
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n+1}, \Gamma x^*) = 0$  i.e.  $x_n \rightarrow \Gamma x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$x^*$  is a fixed point of  $\Gamma$  as a result.

To demonstrate the fixed point's uniqueness, we assume that if there is a second fixed point  $y^*$ , then by (2), we get

It demonstrates that  $\Gamma x^* = x^*$  by virtue of the limit of the  $b_2$ -convergent sequence's uniqueness.

$$\begin{aligned} s\partial(\Gamma x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x^*, y^*, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a) \partial(y^*, \Gamma y^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) \partial(y^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} + \\ &\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma y^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(y, \Gamma x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} \\ s\partial(\Gamma x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x^*, y^*, a) + \alpha_3 \partial(x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) \\ \partial(x^*, y^*, a) &\leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{s} (x^*, y^*, a) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) < 1$ , this implies that  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) < 1$ , as  $s \geq 1$ .

We conclude from (6) that  $\partial(x^*, y^*, a) = 0 \Rightarrow x^* = y^*$ .

$\partial$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $(\mathcal{X}, \partial)$  is a  $b_2$ - complete  $b_2$ - metric

We now generalize Naidu's [6] finding.

space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  &  $\Gamma_2$  be a pair of self

Theorem 4: Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a  $b_2$ - metric

mapping from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying the following conditions:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} s[\partial^2(\Gamma_1 x, \Gamma_2 y, a)] &\leq \alpha \partial(x, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a) + \beta \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(x, \Gamma_2 y, a) - \min \{ \partial(x, y, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a), \\ &\partial(x, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a), \partial(x, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a), \partial(x, \Gamma_2 y, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a), \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a) \} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(b)  $\Gamma_1$  &  $\Gamma_2$  are compatible pair for every  $x, y, a \in \mathcal{X}$  and for some non-negative  $\alpha, \beta$ , with  $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$  and  $\frac{\alpha + 2\beta s}{s} = \lambda < 1$ . Then

$\Gamma_1$  &  $\Gamma_2$  have a common fixed point in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Further, if  $\frac{\beta}{s} < 1$ , then  $\Gamma_1$  &  $\Gamma_2$  have a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let  $\frac{\alpha + 2\beta s}{s} = \lambda$ , we define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  for an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\Gamma_1 x_n = x_{n+1}$ ,

$\Gamma_2 x_{n+1} = x_{n+2}$ ,  $n=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

$$\begin{aligned} s[\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] &= s[\delta^2(\Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, \Gamma_2 x_n, a)] \\ &\leq \alpha [\delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a)] + \beta [\delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_n, a) \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_2 x_{n-1}, a)] \\ &\quad \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a), \\ &\quad -\min \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_2 x_n, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a), \\ &\quad \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \} \\ s[\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] &\leq \alpha [\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] + \beta [\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) s \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \}] \\ &\quad \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \\ &\quad -\min \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_n, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, a) \delta(x_n, x_n, a), \\ &\quad \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \delta(x_n, x_n, a) \} \\ s[\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] &\leq \alpha [\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] + \beta s [\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)] + \beta s [\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\ &\quad -\min \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \\ &\quad 0, 0, 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$s(1-\beta)\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq (\alpha + s\beta)\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)$$

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \frac{(\alpha + s\beta)}{s(1-\beta)} \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)$$

$$(\alpha + s\beta) \prec s(1-\beta) \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\alpha + s\beta}{s} \prec 1$$

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \lambda \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \quad (8)$$

Replacing  $x, y, a$  by  $x_{n-1}, x_n, a$  respectively, we have

$$\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \leq \lambda \delta(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, a) \quad (9)$$

From (8) and (9), we have

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \lambda^2 \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \quad (10)$$

Continue in same manner,  $n$  times, we have

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \lambda^n \delta(x_0, x_1, a)$$

Thus, for some  $m, n > 0, m \succ n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_n, x_m, a) &\leq \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \delta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) + \delta(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, a) + \dots + \delta(x_{m-1}, x_m, a) \\ &\leq (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}) \delta(x_0, x_1, a) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n (1 - \lambda^{m-n})}{1 - \lambda} \delta(x_0, x_1, a). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\delta(x_n, x_m, a) \rightarrow 0$ .

$\Rightarrow \{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy  $b_2$ -sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Again  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are compatible pair and  $\{x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  is a sequence then  $\{\delta(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 x_n, \Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 x_n)\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\{\Gamma_1 x_n\}$  and  $\{\Gamma_2 x_n\}$  converges to same limit. So,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 x_n \Rightarrow \Gamma_1(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_2 x_n) = \Gamma_2(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_1 x_n) \Rightarrow \Gamma_1 u = \Gamma_2 u = u$ , for some  $u$  i.e.  $u$  is a common fixed point for  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$

To demonstrate the fixed point's exclusivity, we assume that if there is a second fixed point  $v$ , then by (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s[\partial^2(\Gamma_1 u, \Gamma_2 v, a)] &\leq \alpha \partial(u, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a) + \beta \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(u, \Gamma_2 v, a) - \min\{\partial(u, v, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a), \\ &\partial(u, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a), \partial(u, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a), \partial(u, \Gamma_2 v, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a), \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial(u, v, a) \leq \frac{\beta}{s} \partial(u, v, a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial(u, v, a) = 0 \text{ as } \frac{\beta}{s} \prec 1.$$

$\Rightarrow u = v$ . This completes the proof.

spaces.

### 3. Conclusion

Zead Mustafa et al. [27] introduce the notion of  $b_2$ -metric spaces as a generalization of both 2-metric and  $b$ -metric spaces. He then proved a few fixed point theorems in a variety of contractive settings in partially ordered  $b_2$ -metric

### References

- [1] Czerwik, S: Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 1, 5-11 (1993).
- [2] Czerwik, S: Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46, 263-276 (1998).

- [3] Gähler, VS: 2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur. Math. Nachr. 26, 115-118 (1963).
- [4] Hussain, N, Parvaneh, V, Roshan, JR, Kadelburg, Z: Fixed points of cyclic weakly  $(\psi, \phi, L, A, B)$ - contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces with applications. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 256 (2013).
- [5] Dung, NV, Le Hang, VT: Fixed point theorems for weak  $C$ -contractions in partially ordered 2-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 161 (2013).
- [6] Naidu, SVR, Prasad, JR: Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 17 (8), 974-993 (1986).
- [7] Aliouche, A, Simpson, C: Fixed points and lines in 2-metric spaces. Adv. Math. 229, 668- 690 (2012).
- [8] Deshpande, B, Chouhan, S: Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of mappings with some weaker conditions in 2-metric spaces. Fasc. Math. 46, 37-55 (2011).
- [9] Freese, RW, Cho, YJ, Kim, SS: Strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed spaces. J. Korean Math. Soc. 29 (2), 391-400 (1992).
- [10] Iseki, K: Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces. Math. Semin. Notes 3, 133-136 (1975).
- [11] Iseki, K: Mathematics on 2-normed spaces. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 13 (2), 127-135 (1976).
- [12] Lahiri, BK, Das, P, Dey, LK: Cantor's theorem in 2-metric spaces and its applications to fixed point problems. Taiwan. J. Math. 15, 337-352 (2011).
- [13] Lai, SN, Singh, AK: An analogue of Banach's contraction principle in 2-metric spaces. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 18, 137-143 (1978).
- [14] Popa, V, Imdad, M, Ali, J: Using implicit relations to prove unified fixed point theorems in metric and 2-metric spaces. Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. 33, 105-120 (2010).
- [15] Ahmed, MA: A common fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in 2-metric spaces and its application. Chaos Solitons Fractals 42 (5), 2914-2920 (2009).
- [16] Geraghty, M: On contractive mappings. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 40, 604-608 (1973) 17.
- [17] Đukic, D, Kadelburg, Z, Radenovic, S: Fixed points of Geraghty-type mappings in various generalized metric spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Article ID 561245 (2011).
- [18] Berinde, V: On the approximation of fixed points of weak contractive mappings. Carpath. J. Math. 19, 7-22 (2003).
- [19] Berinde, V: Approximating fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration. Nonlinear Anal. Forum 9, 43-53 (2004).
- [20] Berinde, V: General contractive fixed point theorems for 'Ciric-type almost contraction in metric spaces. Carpath. J. Math. 24, 10-19 (2008).
- [21] Berinde, V: Some remarks on a fixed point theorem for 'Ciric-type almost contractions. Carpath. J. Math. 25, 157-162 (2009).
- [22] Babu, GVR, Sandhya, ML, Kameswari, MVR: A note on a fixed point theorem of Berinde on weak contractions. Carpath. J. Math. 24, 8-12 (2008).
- [23] Roshan, JR, Parvaneh, V, Sedghi, S, Shobkolaei, N, Shatanawi, W: Common fixed points of almost generalized  $(\psi, \phi)s$ -contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 159 (2013).
- [24] Ciric, L, Abbas, M, Saadati, R, Hussain, N: Common fixed points of almost generalized contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 217, 5784-5789 (2011).
- [25] Khan, MS, Swaleh, M, Sessa, S: Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 30, 1-9 (1984).
- [26] Fathollahi, S, Hussain, N, Khan, LA: Fixed point results for modified weak and rational  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractions in ordered 2-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, Article ID 6 (2014).
- [27] Mustafa et al.,  $b_2$ -Metric spaces and some fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2014: 144.
- [28] A. Garg, Z. K. Ansari and R. Shrivastava, Some common fixed point theorems in 2-Metric Space, South Asian J Math, 2011, 1 (3), 106-110.
- [29] Fréchet, M. M. Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel. *Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo* 22, 1-72 (1906). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03018603>