

Research Article

# Variation in Seedling Quality Attributes of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) After Harvested Under Different Sowing Dates

Melkam Anteneh<sup>1, 2, \*</sup> , Asnake Fikre<sup>1</sup>, Tileye Feyissa<sup>2, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Biotechnology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbial, Cellular and Molecular Biology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

## Abstract

Planting dates are the most important components for Lentil seedling growth and development. Seeds planted in diverse environmental situations lead to changes in various seedling development and the final output of the yield. The present study, aimed to assess the effect of different planting (sowing) dates harvested seeds on the physiological properties of nineteen (19) lentil genotypes. Lentil was growing during two consecutive planting days on average the normal (main) 17/08/2021 and lately 16/09/2021, then harvested seed extract after five months of storage, planted CRD with two factorial designs and three replications under laboratory condition within a white plastic container filled with autoclaved 3.0kg sand applying 100ml distilled water. The lentil was grown per standard, and the lentil's postharvest physiological quality parameters were evaluated. Results indicated that the lowest speed of germination index was obtained during late planting time or stress situations on the genotype Adda (8.78) and ILL-1760 (8.94). Seedling length is the seedling vigor criterion and is statistically significantly different between planting dates at 1%. The effect of planting day on seedling fresh weight was significantly different ( $p < 0.01$ ) on genotype Beredu (0.0022) on the main planting day. The seed viability on vigor index (II) as shown in was affected by planting date ( $p < 0.01$ ) and genotypes ( $p < 0.01$ ). The heritability value of shoot length, root length, and seedling fresh weight equal zero was the variation due to environmental it had no genetic effect after five months of storage both main and late planting. The seedling vigor index, fresh and dry weight traits maximum on the main planting day on the genotype Beredu indicates 0.0445, 0.00218, and 0.00045 respectively. Seeds from the main planting day had the best seedling traits performance and recorded minimal germination time compared to late planting seeds. Lentil seeds planted lately finally recorded lower seedling fresh and dry weight, vigor, and speed of germination index as compared to those harvested lentil seeds in main planting dates. As a result, when there is adequate rainfall necessary for lentil growth, development, and final output.

## Keywords

Adaptability, Lentil Seeds, Storage Time, Traits, Viability

\*Corresponding author: meraf2.2008@yahoo.com (Melkam Anteneh)

**Received:** 5 August 2024; **Accepted:** 3 September 24; **Published:** 30 September 2024



Copyright: © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) is a versatile and profitable pulse crop with higher nutritional food and feed values. Achieving higher yield depends on the use of seeds of optimal quality in terms of vigor, speed of germination, seedling shoot, and, root length. Higher seed quality is essential to ensure proper planting and storage time. The used seed vigor must be high. Seed quality means the ability to germinate, to emerge, and to produce healthy seedlings rapidly, uniformly, under a wide range of environmental conditions, enabling this ability for a great amount of time [1]. Poor-quality seeds can potentially decrease the rate and percentage of germination and seedling emergence, leading to creating not a better new life as a result yield loss in many crops such as corn [2, 3], cotton [4], barely [5, 6], and oil seed [7].

The young or small plants are affected by climatic conditions during seed development [8] such as the frequency of lower water content and amount of water vapor in the air, high temperature, rainfall [9], and genetic factors [10]. Planting dates are the first pivotal point in crop management decisions, especially in areas with environmental constraints such as early and early winter and extreme heat in the middle of summer [11]. Planting date is the most important characteristic that often affects germination characteristics seedling establishment, greenfield, and yield [12]. The studies conducted by [13] on soybeans in early sowing dates (April 30) have lower seed quality than those of the later planting dates (May 15 and 30). They stated that relative humidity and high temperature during seed filling, likely reduce seed quality in the early planting dates. [14] in the research, the effect of seed shrinkages caused by drought and heat stress during seed filling on soybean seed quality explained that the increased shrinkage reduces the germination percentage the final result showed that seeds with more than 50 shrinkage percentages are not suitable for planting. Lentil prefers cool weather, full sun, and a soil pH of 6-8. It grows these in drought-prone areas however, the yields will likely be reduced. Planting time-imposed seed stored under optimum conditions helps to influence seed quality concerning germination, vigor, and viability.

Time of harvesting is the primary determinant of the seed quality of native grasses [15]. Seeds' physiological maturity (defined as the maximum seed dry matter accumulation) and seed water content represent key considerations for identifying the optimum time for seed harvesting [16]. Harvesting seeds before physiological maturity may result in lightweight seeds of reduced viability, whereas harvesting seeds long after physiological maturity may result in seeds lost by shattering. Once seeds reach a maximum dry weight, they undergo an accelerated dehydration phase, until a hygroscopic equilibrium is attained and harvest maturity is reached [17].

Attainment of physiological maturity is a genotypic character influenced by environmental factors [18, 19]. The amount of seed vigor and delayed planting reduce seed quality

due to the development and ripening of seed in adverse environmental conditions [20].

However, despite this, the environment and even when initial physiological quality is high, some species are better at maintaining viability in storage than others. Achieving long storage is a genotypic character influenced by external and internal factors. Store Lentil seeds at optimum conditions and stage of crop is essential to obtain better seed quality. Therefore, there is an urgent need to help communities around central Ethiopia to halt and reverse planting dates. The additions of seeds of improved varieties represent the primary sources of increasing productivity. So far, however, there is limited information on different planting days and the seed quality of improved genotypes was released at the research institute.

Therefore, to investigate the importance of two planting dates on nineteen improved lentil genotypes and its effects on seedling quality traits like seedling vigor, germination index, and seedling fresh and dry weight, after five months of storage in central Ethiopia.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Experimental Design

The experiment was conducted at the Seed Testing Laboratory of the Debre-Zeit Agricultural Research, with seeds obtained from freshly harvested planted during the 2021/22 cropping season were stored in bags for periods up to 5 months with initial moisture contents of 16 to 20% (wet basis) to determine the effects of two planting days on seedling quality of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) by completely randomized design (CRD) with two replications under laboratory conditions. The samples used were from pure seed lots. The seeds were tested for Laboratory comparison by observing the principles of germination of Lentil as described by ISTA and selecting ten normal seedling materials from each tray. The first germination count was made seven days after planting and the final count was made on the twelve. The percentage of healthy germinated seeds is recorded. The evaluation of the seed quality attributes such as Seedling Fresh and Dry Weight, Vigor Index (VI) one (I) and two (II), Seedling Shoot, and Root Length (m) was carried out five months after harvesting.

Testing of Late (Factor B) and Main (Factor A) planting seed's replication/location that showed traits of seedlings had an impact on the initial growth and development of lentils (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) genotypes (Ada, 94-003L, R-186, ILL-1760, 96006L-984005, Alemtena, Alemaya, Dz-2012-Ln238, Gudo, ILL2178, X125-54, 09583227-04, Jiru, Beredu, Challew, Dz2012-Ln0050, ELL142, Teshale and Derash) at the field.

## 2.2. Determination of Physiological Quality

### 2.2.1. Germination Percent

A germination percentage was carried out according to [21]. A germination test was performed by planting fifty (50) pure seeds per genotype per harvest date. Each experimental plot (tray) within a white box regulated at a constant temperature, seeds distributed and filled on auto-cleaved 3.0 kg sand with 100ml distilled water and consisted of five rows. The white boxes were on a laboratory table at room temperature ( $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ). After twelve days normal seedlings were counted. Germination was calculated as the ratio of normal seedlings to the total number of pure seeds and expressed as a percentage.

### 2.2.2. Seed Vigor Test

**Root and Shoot Length:** The seedling root and shoot lengths were determined in the standard germination test after the final count. After twelve days, ten plants were randomly selected to study shoot and root length, taking from each replicate of each treatment. The seedlings' root and shoot lengths were measured in meters (m). The shoot length was measured from the point of attachment to the embryo (endosperm) to the tip of the shoot. Similarly, the root length was measured from the point of attachment to the embryo (endosperm) to the tip of the root. The shoot and root lengths were computed by dividing the total shoot and root lengths by the total number of normal seedlings measured (ISTA, 1996).

**Seedling Fresh and Dry Weight:** From ten randomly selected seedlings, the root's fresh weight, and the shoots of the seedlings were recorded. Then, each replicate was cut from the embryo and placed in white paper bags to be dried in an oven at  $70^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 hours. After cooling in desiccators, the dry weight was taken to the nearest gram using a sensitive balance and changed into an international SI unit kilogram (kg). Finally, the average seedling dry weight was measured.

**Seedling Vigor:** For each sample two seed vigor indices were calculated. Seedling Vigor was calculated as Vigor Index – I (VI): multiplying percent germination with the average sum of the length of shoot and root. Vigor index – II (VII): multiplying percent germination with the mean dry weight of the seedling.

**Speed of Germination Index (SGI):** Each sample was sown in the sand and kept at room temperature ( $20^\circ\text{C}$ ) for twelve (12) days, and the speed of germination (SG) was calculated. Determined by daily counts of the number of normal germinated seeds on days eight (8) to twelve (12) after sowing. The index was calculated according to the formula ( $\text{SGI} = G1/N1 + G2/N2 + \dots + Gn/Nn$ ), proposed by [22, 23]. where: SGI = speed of germination index, G1, G2, and Gn = number of seedlings counted in the first, second, and last count; and N1, N2, and Nn = number of days from sowing until the first, second, and last count.

## 2.3. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with ANOVA ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), using R software version 4.1.2, combining different planting times with genotypes. Differences among means were compared using the Duncan test.

## 3. Results and Discussions

### 3.1. Seedling Shoot and Root Length

In this research, seedling length is regarded as the seedling vigor criterion and is statistically significantly different between planting dates at 1% (Table 1). The tallest shoot Length on the main planting date on the genotype X-125-54 (0.112) and the shortest on the genotype Adda (0.046) on the late planting day. The increased growth of the stem due to the favorable and prevailing, seed-filling period, and the development of the seed embryo condition for planting during main planting day was important for Lentil species. Root Length was affected by planting dates and by species ( $p < 0.01$ ), on the other hand, there was no interaction ( $p > 0.05$ ) between planting dates and species (Table 4). Root length was similar between main and late planting dates, the mean value was higher on the genotype Beredu (0.103) on the main planting day and lowest on the species Adda (0.0475) on the late planting day. A similar result may have been caused by high rainfall intensity during anthesis, which has been shown to reduce seed set in grass [24].

### 3.2. Speed of Germination Index (SGI)

In the present study, germination is considered a criterion for SGI in Lentil genotypes. The effect of different planting and long storage times on the germination index is significantly different at 1% between late planting days on the genotypes ILL-2178 (12.35), this may be due to the inner power that stands in adverse situations. The lowest speed of germination index was obtained during late planting time or stress situations on the genotype Adda (8.78) and ILL-1760 (8.94). In Table 1 shoot and root length after five-month storage during main and late planting time, the cumulative mean value was 0.084 and 0.081 respectively. On lately planting day, shoot elongation decreases compared to the other tested genotypes on Adda (0.0465). The favorable conditions for the seed planting first-period impact on the growth of root and stem show that the grain filling period and formation of seed embryo have a determinative effect on Lentil.

### 3.3. Seedling Fresh and Dry Weight

In this experiment, the effect of planting day on seedling fresh weight was significantly different ( $p < 0.01$ ) on genotype Beredu (0.0022) on the main planting day. [25] stated that increased seed weight provides more food for fetal

growth. However, on late planting days or in stressful situations, a small value was measured on the genotype Adda (0.0008). The seedling fresh weight decreases during the late planting day associated with long-term storage. Seedling dry weight is one of the best seed rate criteria for the emergence of seedlings in the field. Seeds planted both times had higher values of seedling fresh and dry weight on the genotype Beredu compared to other tested ones, seeds from this genotype would be more suitable to grow on the field. Moreover,

planting day closets to vegetative growth is the rate at which the maximum dry weight accumulation does not occur. It has been argued that fresh and dry weight involves a variety of life history traits like plant size and longevity to the final time of reproduction. The higher seed weight resulted in the formation of more normal seedlings with higher dry weight and delayed planting followed by a reduction in 100 seed weight, normal seedling percentage, and dry weight of normal seedlings [16].

**Table 1.** Mean value of Speed of Germination Index (SGI), Shoot Length (SL) and Root Length (RL) in meter.

Genotypes	SGI		SL(m)		RL(m)	
	Main	Late	Main	Late	Main	Late
Adda	9.42 <sup>cde</sup>	8.78 <sup>c</sup>	0.092 <sup>a</sup>	0.0465 <sup>b</sup>	0.0565 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0475 <sup>b</sup>
94-003L	10.51 <sup>abcde</sup>	10.86 <sup>abcde</sup>	0.0923 <sup>a</sup>	0.0915 <sup>a</sup>	0.101 <sup>a</sup>	0.0715 <sup>ab</sup>
R186	9.83 <sup>bcde</sup>	11.58 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0765 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0915 <sup>a</sup>	0.071 <sup>ab</sup>	0.089 <sup>ab</sup>
TILL-1760	10.78 <sup>abcde</sup>	8.94 <sup>de</sup>	0.084 <sup>a</sup>	0.0855 <sup>a</sup>	0.0745 <sup>ab</sup>	0.089 <sup>ab</sup>
96006L-984005	9.75 <sup>bcde</sup>	10.52 <sup>abcde</sup>	0.0935 <sup>a</sup>	0.0965 <sup>a</sup>	0.07 <sup>ab</sup>	0.1 <sup>ab</sup>
Alemtena	9.84 <sup>bcde</sup>	10.25 <sup>abcde</sup>	0.0925 <sup>a</sup>	0.0895 <sup>a</sup>	0.0695 <sup>ab</sup>	0.09 <sup>ab</sup>
Alemaya	10.26 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.44 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0885 <sup>a</sup>	0.0795 <sup>a</sup>	0.107 <sup>a</sup>	0.093 <sup>ab</sup>
Dz-2012-Ln-238	10.026 <sup>bcde</sup>	11.21 <sup>abc</sup>	0.085 <sup>a</sup>	0.085 <sup>a</sup>	0.103 <sup>a</sup>	0.094 <sup>ab</sup>
Gudo	9.94 <sup>bcde</sup>	10.52 <sup>abcde</sup>	0.0835 <sup>a</sup>	0.086 <sup>a</sup>	0.095 <sup>ab</sup>	0.099 <sup>ab</sup>
ILL-2178	12.35 <sup>a</sup>	9.36 <sup>cde</sup>	0.091 <sup>a</sup>	0.082 <sup>a</sup>	0.087 <sup>ab</sup>	0.081 <sup>ab</sup>
X-125-54	10.71 <sup>abcde</sup>	10.8 <sup>abcde</sup>	0.087 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.0855 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0855 <sup>ab</sup>
09583227-04	10.24 <sup>bcde</sup>	10.79 <sup>abcde</sup>	0.112 <sup>a</sup>	0.101 <sup>a</sup>	0.072 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0815 <sup>ab</sup>
Jiru	10.76 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.26 <sup>abc</sup>	0.094 <sup>a</sup>	0.0915 <sup>a</sup>	0.076 <sup>ab</sup>	0.091 <sup>ab</sup>
Beredu	10.54 <sup>abcde</sup>	10.76 <sup>abcde</sup>	0.0935 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.0975 <sup>ab</sup>	0.092 <sup>ab</sup>
Challew	10.33 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.01 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.095 <sup>a</sup>	0.103 <sup>a</sup>	0.066 <sup>ab</sup>
Dz2012-Ln0050	10.81 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.55 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0885 <sup>a</sup>	0.0885 <sup>a</sup>	0.0935 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0925 <sup>ab</sup>
ELL-142	10.79 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.71 <sup>ab</sup>	0.081 <sup>a</sup>	0.0835 <sup>a</sup>	0.076 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0795 <sup>ab</sup>
Teshale	10.64 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.18 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0855 <sup>a</sup>	0.0865 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>ab</sup>	0.086 <sup>ab</sup>
Derash	10.78 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.19 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0967 <sup>a</sup>	0.0945 <sup>a</sup>	0.0995 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0945 <sup>ab</sup>
Mean	0.987		0.012		0.025	
LSD	8.41		17.74		26.73	
CV	10.01		0.084		0.080	

Note: Same letter in some column not significantly different between genotypes.

### 3.4. Seedling Vigor Index I and II

The seed viability on vigor index (II) as shown in Table 2 was affected by planting date ( $p < 0.01$ ) and genotypes ( $p <$

0.01). Seed vigor index (II) was higher on the main planting day on the genotype Beredu (0.0445) and the value of the others intermediate indicating seeds planting lately and twelve days after germination was not enough for some seeds to develop a functional and mature embryo. There was no in-

teraction ( $p > 0.05$ ) between planting days and genotypes (Table 4). The tested genotype during the five-month storage period had the highest vigor index which indicates seeds of

the best field performance. Seedling vigor is the ultimate engine of growth and a fundamental challenge for yield continues advancing.

**Table 2.** Mean value of Seedling Fresh Weight (SFW), Seedling Dry Weight (SDW) and Vigor Index (II) during main and late planting day.

Genotypes	FW		SDW		V(II)	
	Main	Late	Main	Late	Main	Late
Adda	0.0018 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000755 <sup>d</sup>	0.000125 <sup>de</sup>	0.00027 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0125 <sup>de</sup>	0.027 <sup>bcd</sup>
94-003L	0.0019 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00183 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.00027 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.027 <sup>bcd</sup>
R186	0.00153 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00152 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00022 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.00021 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.022 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.021 <sup>bcd</sup>
TILL-1760	0.00182 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00163 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000265 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000185 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0265 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0185 <sup>bcd</sup>
96006L-984005	0.00194 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00151 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000325 <sup>ab</sup>	0.000185 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0325 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0185 <sup>bcd</sup>
Alemtena	0.00169 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00173 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00027 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000215 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.027 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0215 <sup>bcd</sup>
Alemaya	0.00205 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00128 <sup>cd</sup>	0.00025 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000235 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.025 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0235 <sup>bcd</sup>
Dz-2012-Ln-238	0.00185 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00191 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000265 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0265 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0255 <sup>bcd</sup>
Gudo	0.00162 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00193 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000295 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.000285 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.0295 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.0285 <sup>abcd</sup>
ILL-2178	0.00178 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0016 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000305 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.000175 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0305 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.0175 <sup>bcd</sup>
X-125-54	0.00178 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00178 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0255 <sup>bcd</sup>
09583227-04	0.00153 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00136 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000165 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.00014 <sup>cde</sup>	0.0165 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.014 <sup>cde</sup>
Jiru	0.00197 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00185 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000305 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.000175 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0305 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.0175 <sup>bcd</sup>
Beredu	0.00218 <sup>a</sup>	0.00194 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000445 <sup>a</sup>	0.00032 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0445 <sup>a</sup>	0.032 <sup>abc</sup>
Challew	0.00165 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00164 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000275 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.000165 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0275 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.0165 <sup>bcd</sup>
Dz2012-Ln0050	0.00187 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00156 <sup>abc</sup>	0.000245 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000235 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0245 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0235 <sup>bcd</sup>
ELL-142	0.00149 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00132 <sup>cd</sup>	0.000165 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000155 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.024 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0155 <sup>bcd</sup>
Teshale	0.00211 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00194 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0003 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.00027 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.03 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.027 <sup>bcd</sup>
Derash	0.00191 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00131 <sup>cd</sup>	0.000255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.000325 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0255 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.0325 <sup>ab</sup>
Mean	0.00035		8.53e-05		0.0085	
LSD	19.3036		30.24		29.24	
CV	0.001623		0.0002346		0.0234	

Note: Same letter in same column not significantly different between genotypes.

Seed germination percent and vigor index (I) were not affected by both planting dates and species ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Table 3). There was no interaction between planting days and genotypes. There was no germination problem between the tested genotypes on main and late planting, which indicates the absence of dormancy planting after a five-month storage period. However, regardless of vigor index (I) the higher on the genotype X-125-54 (11.15) on main planting days and the lower on the genotype Adda (4.65) on late planting dates (Table 3). However, there was no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) and no interaction effect between planting dates and

species. This seed phenological pattern suggests that chilling is required before germination is possible [26, 27].

### 3.5. Broad Sence Heritability

The study shows that the heritability value of shoot length, root length, and seedling fresh weight equals zero was the variation due to environmental it had no genetic effect after five months of storage both main and late planting day (Table 5). The application of mean square on the tested locations revealed very highly significant differences in traits of seed-

ling fresh weight, seedling dry weight, vigor index II, and speed of germination index. However, the mean square of the tested genotypes between traits like seedling dry weight and vigor index II at  $P < 0.01$ .

In general, our results in overall arrangements of different planting dates affect seed maturity at harvest it also influences all readily measurable seed quality traits. The seed's physiological maturity (maximum seed dry matter accumulation) and seed water content represent key considerations for identifying the optimum time for harvesting. The stage of seed maturity at harvest is also the main determinant for seed vigor [28], a trait defined as the sum of those properties of seeds that

their ability to germinate and establish seedlings across diverse environmental conditions [29]. Harvesting before seed physiological maturity may result in low vigor science, seed vigor characteristics have been acquired, whereas harvesting too late may decrease enzymatic activity and gene expiration and increase the risk of shattering, the seed deterioration due to unfavorable weather conditions [30]. Seed harvest time is just one of the native elements of the seed supply chain [31]. The other elements are processing (cleaning and quality testing [32]), storage, and seed enhancement (treatments applied to the seed to enhance germination [33]).

**Table 3.** Result of Germination Percent (G%) and Vigor Index (I) on Lentil Varieties after five-month storage.

Genotypes	G%		VI(I)	
	Main	Late	Main	Late
Adda	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.2 <sup>a</sup>	4.65 <sup>a</sup>
94-003L	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.15 <sup>a</sup>	9.15 <sup>a</sup>
R186	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	7.65 <sup>ab</sup>	9.15 <sup>a</sup>
TILL-1760	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.4 <sup>a</sup>	8.55 <sup>a</sup>
96006L-984005	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.35 <sup>a</sup>	9.65 <sup>a</sup>
Alemtena	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.25 <sup>a</sup>	8.95 <sup>a</sup>
Alemaya	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.85 <sup>a</sup>	7.95 <sup>a</sup>
Dz-2012-Ln-238	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.35 <sup>a</sup>	8.5 <sup>a</sup>
Gudo	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.35 <sup>a</sup>	8.6 <sup>a</sup>
ILL-2178	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.05 <sup>a</sup>	8.2 <sup>a</sup>
X-125-54	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.7 <sup>a</sup>	9.05 <sup>a</sup>
09583227-04	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	11.25 <sup>a</sup>	10.15 <sup>a</sup>
Jiru	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.4 <sup>a</sup>	9.15 <sup>a</sup>
Beredu	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.35 <sup>a</sup>	9 <sup>a</sup>
Challew	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9 <sup>a</sup>	9.5 <sup>a</sup>
Dz2012-Ln0050	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.85 <sup>a</sup>	8.85 <sup>a</sup>
ELL-142	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.1 <sup>a</sup>	8.35 <sup>a</sup>
Teshale	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	8.55 <sup>a</sup>	8.65 <sup>a</sup>
Derash	100 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	9.675 <sup>a</sup>	9.45 <sup>a</sup>
Mean	1.81e-13		1.21	
LSD	11.77		17.74	
CV	97.43		8.4	

Note: Same letter in same column not significantly different between genotypes.



**Table 4.** Effect of Main (Factor A) and Late (Factor B) planting in seedling traits.

Character	Sources of Variation			
	Factor A (Main planting)	Factor B (Late planting)		
	Genotype	Genotype	A*B	Error
Shoot Length (SL)	0.00177***	0.000041	0.00013821	0.000222
Root Length (RL)	0.00192***	0.000762	0.000246	0.000465
Seedling Fresh Weight (SFW)	7.3338e-07***	8.1204e-07**	1.0091e-07	9.8160e-08
Seedling Dry Weight (SDW)	2.0682e-08***	2.7011e-08*	5.2139e-09	5.3754e-09
Vigor index I [VI(I)]	17.7615***	0.4133	1.3821	2.2235
Vigor Index II [VI(II)]	2.0682e-04***	2.7011e-04*	5.2139e-05	5.3754e-05
Speed of Germination Index (SGI)	23.4026***	6.4960**	0.8512	0.7158

Note: \* shows that = significantly different; \*\* shows that = highly significantly different; \*\*\* = Very highly significantly different.

**Table 5.** Broad-sense heritability and Mean square of Lentil seed planting during main and late planting time.

Character	Sources of Variation			
	Heritability	Mean square		
		Rep (Environment)	Genotype	Error
Shoot Length (SL)	0	0.00038340**	0.00013906*	0.00007244
Root Length (RL)	0	0.00155946*	0.00027407	0.00032141
Seedling Fresh Weight (SFW)	0	4.3661e-07***	1.1859e-07*	6.1450e-08
Seedling Dry Weight (SDW)	nan	3.0493e-08***	8.4025e-09**	3.6272e-09
Vigor index I [VI(I)]	0.187	3.8340**	1.3906*	0.7244
Vigor Index II [VI(II)]	non	3.0493e-04***	8.4025e-05**	3.6272e-05
Speed of Germination Index (SGI)	0.136	10.3868***	0.7901	0.4848

Note: \* shows that = significantly different; \*\* shows that = highly significantly different; \*\*\* = Very highly significantly different.

## 4. Conclusions and Recommendations

The differences in genotypes in vigor and other seedling traits due to the quality differences which were caused by planting dates. Based on the result, the Germination Percent was not affected by planting dates (sowing dates), however, tested Lentil genotypes can maintain a decent germination percentage and perform better at the main planting day (17/August/2021 up to 02/September/2021) it had a significant difference in seedling growth traits such as fresh and dry weight, shoot and root length, speed of germination index finally on the vigor. It concludes that around central Ethiopia lentil seeds

planted from the mid-August up to the first of September had better performance. In addition, the reduction of water interferes with the water absorption process by different genotypes, it affects the germination, and vigor of seedlings. From the tested germination-related traits under changing environments (main and lately planting date) greatly depend on the ability of different genotypes to tolerate water logging during planting, then heat, and moisture stress. These genotypes identified as tolerant to water logging and stress on the Beredu and R-186 can be recommended in the breeding program compared to other tested genotypes.

## Abbreviations

SL	Shoot Length
RL	Root Length
SFW	Seedling Fresh Weight
SDW	Seedling Dry Weight
VI (I)	Vigor Index One
VI (II)	Vigor Index Two
SGI	Seedling Germination Index
M	Meter
Ta	Adda
T94-003L	94-003L
TR186	R-186
TILL-1760	ILL-1760
T96	96006L-984005
Talt	Alemtena
Tal	Alemaya
Tln238	Dz-2012-Ln-238
TG	Gudo
TILL2178	ILL-2178
TX	X-125-54
T09	09583227-04
TJ	Jiru
TB	Beredu
Tca	Challew
TDz	Dz2012-Ln0050
TE	ELL-142
Tte	Teshale
Tde	Derash

## Acknowledgements

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR) and staffs of Grain Legumes Research Program at Debre Zeit Research Center, for their support on conducting experiment on the field and Laboratory.

## Author Contributions

**Melkam Anteneh:** Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

**Asnake Fikre:** Methodology, Supervision, Visualization

**Tileye Feyissa:** Supervision, Writing – review & editing

## Data Availability Statement

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors up on request.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] R. R. Johnson, and L. M. Wax, Relationship of soyabean germination and vigor test to fiend performance. *Agron J* vol. 70, pp. 273-278, 1987.
- [2] K. Gassemin-Golezani, Z. Tajbakhsh and Y. Raey. Seed Development and Quality in Maize Cultivars. *Not Bot Hort Agro bot*, vol. 39, pp. 178-182, 2011b.
- [3] E. Moreno-Martinez, M. E. Vazques-Badillo, A. Rivera, R. Navarrete and F. Fasquivel-Village. Effects of seed shape and size on germination of corn (*Zea mays* L.) stored under adverse conditions. *Seed sci Technol* vol. 26, pp.439-448, 1989.
- [4] I. N. Iqba, SHMA Basra and K. Rehman, Evaluation of vigor and oil quality in cotton seed during accelerated aging. *Int J Agric Bio*, vol. 14, pp. 318-322, 2002.
- [5] L. O. Copland and M. B. Mc Donald, Seed vigor and vigor test, p. 121-144. In: L. O. Copeland, M. B. Mc Donald (Eds). *Principles of seed science and technology*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Kluwer Academic Publishing Group, 2001.
- [6] N. H. Samarah and S. Al-Kofahi, Relationship of seed quality tests to field emergence of artificial aged barely seeds in the semiarid Mediterranean region. *J Agric Sci*, vol. 4, pp, 217-230, 2008.
- [7] A. Hosseinzadeh-Mahootchy, Seed vigor and field performance of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars. *Not Bot Hort Agro bot Cluj*, vol. 38, pp. 146-150, 2010a.
- [8] J. C. Delouch, Environmental Effect on Seed Quality. *Horticulture Science*, vol. 15, pp. 775-780, 1980.
- [9] A. G. Castillo, J. G. Hamptan, P. Cool Pear, Effect of sowing date and harvesting time or seed vigor on garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *New Zealand Journal Crop and Horticulture Science*, vol. 22, pp. 92-95, 1994.
- [10] L. V. Gusta, E. V. Johanson, N. T. Ness bit, K. J. Klik land, Effects of Seedling dates on Soybean, Seed Quality. *Agronomy Journal*, vol. 57, pp. 165-168, 2003.
- [11] M. R. Khajehpour, Principles of Agriculture. Isfahan University of Technology, 2008.
- [12] A. A. Miri, M. Azizi, F. Ghandrifar, C. Reazaei, Effect of sowing date and germination of crusted and gluten-free seeds of cotton cultivars in seedling establishment and functions. *Journal of cotton and plants of Fiber*. Vol. 1(1), pp. 31-46, 2010.
- [13] M. Adam, B. MakDonald, P. R. Henderlong, The Influences of Seed Positions, planting dates and harvesting dates on soybean seed quality. *Seed Science and Technology*, vol. 17, pp. 143-152, 1989.
- [14] J. B. Fancaneto, Soybean Seed Quality as Affected by shriveling due to heat and drought stresses during seed filling, *Seed science and technology*, vol. 21, pp. 107-111, 1993.
- [15] K. P. Vogel, “The challenge: high quality seeds of native plants to ensure successful establishment,” *Seed Technology*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 9-15, 2002.



- [16] M. B. McDonald, L. O. Copeland, A. D. Knapp et al., "Seed development, Germination and Quality," in cool-Season Forage Grasses, L. E. Moser, D. R. Buxton, and M. D. Casler, Eds., pp. 15-67, ASA, CSSA and SSSA, Madison, WI, USA, 1996.
- [17] T. Bareke, "Biology of seed development and germination physiology," *Advance in Plant and Agriculture Research*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 336-346, 2018.
- [18] S. Kole, and K. Gupta, The timing of physiological maturity of seeds of sunflower: evaluation through multiple tests. *Seed Sci. & Tech.*, vol. 10, pp. 457-467, 1982.
- [19] C. R. Mahesha, A. S. Channaveeraswami, M. B. Kurdikeri, M. Shekhargouda and M. N. Merwade, Seed maturation studies in sunflower genotypes. *Seed Res.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 95-97, 2001a.
- [20] F. Khawari, Arab, R. Amiri, F. Akram Gahaderi, A. Amiri, Effects of different sowing dates on cotton seed vigor on sikara cultivar. Tenth congress of Agronomy and plant breeding, 2008.
- [21] ISTA (International Seed Testing Association), International Rules for Seed Testing. *Seed Sci. and Tech.* vol. 4, pp. 3-49, 1976.
- [22] J. D. MAGUIRE, Speed of germination and in selection and evaluation for seedling emergence and vigor. *Crop Science*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 176-177, 1962. Available from: Accessed: Sept. 19, 2012.  
<https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1962.0011183X000200020033x>
- [23] A. A. Abdual-Baki and J. D. Anderson, Relationship between decarboxylation of glutamic acid and vigor in soybean seed. *Crop Science*, vol. 13, pp. 222– 226, 1973.
- [24] M. Farooq, S. M. A. Barsa, A. Wahid, Priming of field sown rice seed enhance germination, seedling establishment, allometry and field, *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol. 49, pp. 285-249, 2006.
- [25] M. Nikoobin, A. Soltani, A. Faraji, F. Mirdarawust, Effects of planting date on seed filling period on canola seed. *Journal of Plant Production Research*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 99-87, 2009.
- [26] K. Thompson, and J. P. Grime, "Seasonal variation in the seed bank of herbaceous species in ten contrasting habitats", *Journal of ecology*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 893-921, 1979.
- [27] J. R., Goodwin, P. S., Doescher, and L. E., Eddleman, "After ripening in *Festuca idahoensis* seed: adaptive dormancy and implications for restoration, *Restoration ecology*, vol.,3, no.,2, pp.137-142, 1995.
- [28] M. Zhu, X. Yu, G. Zhao, and L., Wang. "Effect of harvest time on seed vigor, Enzyme activity and gene expression on conventional japonica rice, *Archive of Agronomy and Soil Science*, vol. 44, pp. 1-16, 2020.
- [29] W. E. Finch-Savage and G. W., Bassel, Seed vigor and crop establishment, extending performance beyond adaptation, *journal of experimental botany*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 567-591, 2016.
- [30] O. Leprince, A. Pellizzaro, S. Berriri, and J. Buitink, Late seed maturation: drying without dying, *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 827-841, 2017.
- [31] S. Pedrini and K. W. Dixon, "International principles and standards for native seeds in ecological restoration," *Restoration Ecology*, vol. 28, pp. S286-S303, 2020.
- [32] S. Frischie, A. L. Miller, S. Pedrini et al, "Ensuring Seed Quality in ecological restoration: native seed cleaning and testing, *Restoration Ecology*, vol. 28, pp. S239-S248, 2020.
- [33] S. Pedrini, A. Balestrazzi, M. D. Madsen et al., "Seed enhancement: getting seeds restoration- ready," *Restoration Ecology*, vol. 28, pp. S266-S275, 2020.