

---

# Casimir-like energy as a double Eigenvalues of quantumly entangled system leading to the missing dark energy density of the cosmos

Mohamed S. El Naschie

Dept. of Physics, University of Alexandria, Alexandria, Egypt 21511

## Email address:

Chaossf@aol.com

## To cite this article:

Mohamed S. El Naschie. Casimir-Like Energy as a Double Eigenvalues of Quantumly Entangled System Leading to the Missing Dark Energy Density of the Cosmos. *International Journal of High Energy Physics*. Vol. 1, No. 5, 2014, pp. 55-63.

doi: 10.11648/j.ijhep.20140105.11

---

**Abstract:** Starting from a quantumly entangled system we derive the dark energy and ordinary energy density of the cosmos as a double Eigenvalue problem. In addition we validate the result using two different theories. The first theory is based on Witten's 11 dimensional spacetime and the second is based on 'tHooft's fractal renormalization spacetime. In all cases the robust result is  $E(O) = mc^2/22$  for ordinary energy and  $E(D) = mc^2(21/22)$  for the endophysical dark energy. Adding  $E(O)$  to  $E(D)$  we obtain Einstein's famous equation which confirms special relativity although it adds a quantum twist to its interpretation. This new interpretation is vital because it brings relativity theory in line with modern cosmological measurements and observations. Wider technological aspects of the new insights are discussed in the light of  $E(D) = mc^2/(21/22)$  being related to a Casimir-like energy.

**Keywords:** Casimir-Like Energy, Double Eigenvalues, 'tHooft Dimensional Regularization, E-Infinity Theory, Dark Energy, Magueijo-Smolin Energy Formula, Einstein's Relativity

---

## 1. Introduction

The present work looks at the major cosmological problems associated with the energy density measurement of the entire universe [1-11]. We tackle the problem once again from a novel angle, namely as a Casimir-like effect of a double Eigenvalue problem [12-19]. Subsequently we validate our results using Witten's M-theory [5,6] and 'tHooft's fractal renormalization spacetime [3]. We made an effort to cover the subject with an extensive list of relevant references [1-45] in order to keep the page numbers reasonable. For a comparison with earlier publications, we recommend Refs. [46,47] and Ref. [48].

### 1.1. The $SU(2)$ and $E8$ Lie Symmetry Groups Through the Transfinite Looking Glass

In what follows and for later use, we will put  $SU(2)$  and  $E8$  Lie symmetry groups as well as two and three Stein spaces under a transfinite microscope to reveal their inner fine structure as E-infinity Cantorian fractal form [20-24]. There are 3 generators in  $SU(2)$  given by [21,24]

$$\begin{aligned} |SU(2)| &= n^2 - 1 \\ &= 2^2 - 1 \\ &= 4 - 1 \\ &= 3. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For  $E8$  on the other hand we have 248 generators which means 496 generators for  $E8E8$ . Both groups have extremely important applications outside of pure mathematics, in particular  $SU(2)$  is a subgroup of the standard model of high energy quantum particles dealing with the weak force while  $E8$  underpins the prominent theory of superstrings [22-24]. The point is that the fundamentals of high energy physics requires that we must include  $|SU(2)|$  on the right side to balance the E-infinity conservation of symmetries equation [5, 6, 30, 31]

$$|E8E8| = G^{(4)} + |SL(2, 7)| + E + |SU(2)| \quad (2)$$

where  $E$  is the degrees of freedom of the electromagnetic field as represented by the integer value of the inverse fine structure

constant  $\bar{\alpha} = 137$ ,  $G^{(8)} = 20$  are the degrees of freedom of pure gravity in super space of (D=8) compatible with the rank of E8 and is equal to  $R^{(4)} = n^2(n^2 - 1)/12$  for  $n=4$  of Riemannian tensor of Einstein gravity ( $n=4$ ) while  $SL(2,7)$  is the symmetry group of Klein's modular space for which  $|SL(2,7)| = 336$ . Consequently we have [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(E8E8) &= 20 + 336 + 137 + (|SU(2)| = 3) \\ &= 493 + (|SU(2)| = 3) \\ &= 496 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

exactly as should be. However we know that the different quantities of the right hand side could not be Weyl scaled, i.e. "differentiated" or "integrated" by being converted into each other unless they are all the same quantities but "measured" at different energies which means at different scales. It was the systematic application of E-infinity theory and its golden mean counting number system which revealed that adding or subtracting the exact transfinite values arising from the various quantum entanglement probabilities such as that of Hardy ( $\phi^5$ ), Immirzi ( $\phi^6$ ), Unruh ( $\phi^4$ ) and El Naschie ( $\phi^3$ ) which ensures symplecticity and thus the applicability of Weyl scaling calculus. In particular the 'tHooft  $k$  [3] given by  $k = \phi^3 - \phi^6 = (\phi^3)(1 - \phi^3)$  as well as  $k_5 = (\phi^5)(1 - \phi^5)$  form the transfinite tale of  $\bar{\alpha}_o = 137.0820395$  are indispensable for showing that the following transfinite or entanglement correction leads to the following E-infinity exact expression [5,6][24-34]

$$\begin{aligned} |E8E8| &= 496 \rightarrow 496 - k^2 \\ |SL(2,7)| &= 336 \rightarrow 336 + 16k \\ \bar{\alpha}_o &= 137 \rightarrow 137 + k_5 \\ G^{(2)} &= 20 \rightarrow 20 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

That way however we see that  $|SU(2)| = 3$  is already indirectly taken care of by the effects of entanglement correction. Therefore one could take the view that  $|SU(2)| = 3$  is not needed at all. Alternatively a transfinite form of  $SU(2)$  could be seen under a transfinite microscope to be formed by the following three components [32]

$$\begin{aligned} |SU(2)| &= 16k + k^2 + (k_5 = k_o) \\ &= 2.8854382 + 0.03252247583 + 0.082039325 \\ &= 2.917960716 + 0.082039325 \\ &= 3. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The first number on the right hand side is what gave  $|SL(2,7)|$  its fine structure adjustment, the second number is the  $|E8E8|$  transfinite correction while the third number is what gives  $\bar{\alpha}_o = 137$  its scaling 'non-violation' with respect to  $|E8E8|$ , namely [34]

$$(\bar{\alpha}_o)(\lambda) = |E8E8| - k^2 \tag{6}$$

where  $\lambda = 3 + \phi$  as is easily verified [30,32]. Another, and maybe more fundamental interpretation, is to take the view that  $k_2$  and  $k_o$  are probably entitled to be called quasi particle as  $k = \phi^3(1 - \phi^3) = 0.1803393$  has been considered to be a 'tHooft renormalon [3]. In fact counting particles reveals the quasi-particle nature of  $k$ ,  $k_5 = k_o$  and  $k_2$  provided we count in the fractal-fuzzy or transfinite logical way [34]. Proceeding in this way we realize that the 12 gauge bosons of the standard model, namely  $|SU(3)| + |SU(2)| + |U(1)| = 8 + 3 + 1 =$  are not 12 but really 14 quasi particles with a counting fractal weight equivalent to  $12 - 2\phi^4 = 11.7082033989$  particle [31,32]. More explicitly these are one photon  $\mathcal{Y}$  counting as  $\phi$ , 3 electroweak photons counting as  $16k$  and 8 gluons of the strong force counting as  $8 + (k/2) = 8 + \phi^5$ . To these elementary particles we have one Higgs counting as  $k_5 = k_o = \phi^5(1 - \phi^5)$  and one graviton counting as  $k_2$  [3, 32]. The final fractal sum or total topological mass, i.e. asymptotic length of a corresponding field generalizing the Higgs field is neither 12 nor 14 but  $12 - 2\phi^4$  where  $\phi^4$  is self entanglement of a single quantum particle equivalent to Unruh's thermal bath or dimensionless temperature as observed in the Rindler wedge [33]. We note that  $12 - 2\phi^4$  is equal to  $\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_o} = 11.7082039325$ .

Next we would like to reason why  $16k$  could also be interpreted as the additional fine structure of  $SL(2,7)$ . This comes from the fact that  $|SL(2,7)| = 336$  is actually eight copies of the Klein orbit 42 so that  $336 = (8)(42)$ . On the other hand 42 is really the truncation of ten copies of the Hausdorff dimension  $\langle n \rangle = 4.23606799$  so that ten copies of  $\langle n \rangle \approx 4.2$  gives 42 [30, 31, 33]. Consequently the exact transfinite result should be 42.3606799 times 8 which gives the transfinite continuation of  $|SL(2,7)|$  to [31,32]

$$\begin{aligned} |SU(2)|_c &= (8)(42 + 2k) \\ &= 338.8854382 \\ &336 + 16k \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

exactly as anticipated. In fact we could count the 8 copies also using the fractal fuzzy logical way as  $(16 + k)/2 = 8 + k/2 = 8 + \phi^5$  where  $\phi^5$  is Hardy's entanglement [5,6] and find the dimension of an M-manifold related to the sum of all the 17-fractal two and three Stein spaces where the classical Einstein space is a one-Stein space [33]. To show that it is exceedingly illuminating to note that the sum of all the dimensions 17 two and three Stein spaces is exactly 686. When transinitely corrected, the sum turns out to be exactly equal (5)  $(\bar{\alpha}_o)$  where  $\bar{\alpha}_o = 137 + k_o$ . That means [30,33]

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^{17} |Stein| &= (5)(137 + k_o) \\ &= 585 + (5)(k_o) \\ &= 685.4101966. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now for  $8 + \phi^5$  one finds on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M &= (8 + \phi^5)(42 + 2k) \\ &= 336 + 16k + (42)(\phi^5) + 2k\phi^5 \\ &= (336 + 16k) + 3.787137645 + 0.0325331174 \\ &= 342.7050983. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Clearly two copies of  $\dim M$  gives us the sum of the dimensions of the 17 Stein spaces

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \dim M &= (2)(342.7050983) \\ &= 685.4101966 \\ &= \sum_1^{12} |Stein| \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

in complete agreement with what we said earlier on [5,6,33].  
 The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 we introduce the main problem and the mathematics used. In Section 2 the decomposition of Einstein's formula is explained. Section 3 gives the derivation of the ordinary and dark energy density. Finally in Section 4 we connect it to the Casimir effect as the source of dark energy and follow that with general conclusions in Section 5.

**1.2. E-infinity arithmetic and Weyl scaling**

Now is the time for us to look in some detail at the Weyl scaling calculus form of E-infinity. It is a well known fact that the ratio of two successive numbers of the Fibonacci growth law converges towards the golden mean  $\phi = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ . From this many other results follow and we could thereafter formulate two well known fundamental theorems:

Theorem 1: Any positive integer can be written uniquely as the sum of nonconservative Fibonacci numbers of the "F" series (i.e. the Fibonacci series).

Theorem 2: Any positive real number can be represented uniquely as a sum of nonconservative numbers from the infinite series between  $(1/\phi)^\infty = \infty$  and  $\phi^\infty = 0$ , i.e. the series

$$\dots \phi^3, \phi^2, \phi, 1, 1/\phi, 1/\phi^2, 1/\phi^3 \dots$$

From the above and particularly theorem No. 2 we see that the  $\phi$  series is like a number system to the base of 2. Furthermore the F and  $\phi$  series can easily be used to show that  $\phi^n$  can be written as the sum of a  $\phi$  and b  $\phi^2$  where a and b  $\in F_n$ . That means

$$\phi^n = F_{n-2}(1/\phi) + F_{n-1}(1/\phi)^2. \tag{11}$$

Therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (1/\phi) &= (1)1/\phi + (0)(1/\phi)^2 \\ 1/\phi^2 &= (0)(1/\phi) + (1)\phi^2 \\ 1/\phi^3 &= (1)(1/\phi) + (1)\phi^2 \\ 1/\phi^4 &= (1)(1/\phi) + (2)\phi^2 \\ 1/\phi^5 &= (2)1/\phi + (3)\phi^2 \\ 1/\phi^6 &= (3)1/\phi + (5)1/\phi^2 \\ 1/\phi^7 &= (5)1/\phi + (8)(1/\phi)^2 = 29.0344418 \\ 1/\phi^8 &= (8)1/\phi + (13)(1/\phi)^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In fact something similar to the above recursive formula turned out to be an excellent example of noncommutative space dimensional function [5,6] which is nothing more or less than Penrose compactified hyperbolic fractal tiling [5,6]. This dimensional function we give here, not for the sake of completeness but because it represents the dimensional function of E-infinity theory in the K-theoretical form, namely [5,6,34]

$$D = a + b\phi, a, b \in Z$$

and

$$\phi = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2. \tag{13}$$

The exact E-infinity formula corresponding to the above has been used extensively because it is a more compact and superior notation, termed the bijection formula

$$d_c^{(n)} = (1/\phi)^{n-1}. \tag{14}$$

Applying  $d_c^{(n)}$  to the quantum particle, i.e. the zero set  $d_c^{(0)}$  and the quantum wave cobordism, i.e. the empty set  $d_c^{(-1)}$  one immediately finds that

$$\begin{aligned} d_c^{(0)} &= (1/\phi)^{0-1} \\ &= \phi \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_c^{(-1)} &= (1/\phi)^{-1-1} \\ &= \phi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

so that we may write

$$\begin{aligned} D_o(\text{quantum particle}) &= (D_{MU}, D_H) \\ &= (0, \phi) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} D_{-1}(\text{quantum wave}) &= (D_{MU}, D_H) \\ &= (-1, \phi^2) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where  $D_{MU}$  is the Menger Urysohn topological dimension and  $D_H$  is the Hausdorff dimension [5,6,25].

The next most important tool in our mathematical tool kit is Weyl scaling [35]. This is in reality a substitution for calculus and scaling up corresponds to integration while scaling down corresponds to differentiation. Nothing could be simpler than our main scaling sequences as an example to explain what we mean. This we do next.

Let us start by scaling the inverse fine structure constant  $\bar{\alpha}_o = 137 + k_o$  for a Cooper pair which means fermionic electron forming one boson, i.e.  $(\bar{\alpha}_o / 2) = 68.5 + k_o/2$ . We will scale it first down (differentiation) and then up (integration) using the golden mean exponents  $\phi$  and  $1/\phi$ . That way one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{\alpha}_o / 2)(\phi)^n \quad n=1 & \quad 42 + 2k \quad \text{Non-super symmetric quantum gravity coupling} \\
 " \quad " \quad n=2 & \quad 26 + k \quad \text{Super symmetric quantum gravity coupling or the 26 bosonic string dimensions} \\
 " \quad " \quad n=3 & \quad 16 + k \quad \text{The extra 16 boson dimensions of Heterotic strings [30]} \\
 " \quad " \quad n=4 & \quad 10 \quad \text{The dimensions of super stringspacetime [21]} \\
 " \quad " \quad n=5 & \quad 6 + k \quad \text{Compactified dimensions of super strings} \\
 " \quad " \quad n=6 & \quad 4 - k \quad \text{'tHooft dimensional regularization fractal spacetime [3]} \\
 " \quad " \quad n=7 & \quad 2 + 2k \quad \text{Fractal string world sheet} \\
 " \quad " \quad n=8 & \quad 2 - 3k \quad \text{Compliment of the string world sheet [21]}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{19}$$

Note that  $26 + k$ ,  $16 + k$ ,  $10$ ,  $6 + k$  and  $4 - k$  gives the Heterotic superstrings hierarchy when  $k = 2\phi^5$  of 'tHooft fractal renormalization spacetime [3] is set equal zero. An even more fundamental quantity is the first massless gauge boson of Heterotic superstrings, namely the well known  $N_o = 8064$  reported for instance by Green [20,21]. The corresponding transfinite exact value is given by ten copies of the intersection of the moduli space  $M(80)$  with the dimensionality of the fractal M-theory  $11 + \phi^5$ . Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_o(\text{transfinite}) &= (11 + \phi^5)(800) \\
 &= 8872.135962.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{20}$$

To see that this is the correct value we demonstrate first that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_o &= (\text{Instanton number})(|SL(2, 7)|) \\
 &= (24)(336) \\
 &= 8064.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{21}$$

However the exact transfinite instanton density is  $26 + k$  rather than 24 and the 336 is really  $336 + 16k$  as explained

earlier on. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_o(\text{Transfinite}) &= (26+k)(336+16k) \\
 &= 8872.135962
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

exactly as anticipated [5,6,31,32]. Now we examine the down scaling spectrum of  $N_o$ .

We will give here only the most important results, namely for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and 6 as well as the remarkable result for  $n = 16$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (N_o)(\phi^n) \quad n=1 & \quad 5483.281573 \\
 " \quad " \quad n=2 & \quad 3388.854382 \\
 " \quad " \quad n=3 & \quad 2094.427195 \\
 " \quad " \quad n=4 & \quad 1294.427194 \\
 " \quad " \quad n=5 & \quad 800 \\
 " \quad " \quad n=6 & \quad 494.4271926 \\
 & \quad \cdot \\
 & \quad \cdot \\
 & \quad \cdot \\
 " \quad " \quad n=16 & \quad 4.019999902
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{23}$$

(i.e. R. Loll et al spectral dimension). Now the first five results when divided by superstrings dimensionality  $D = 10$  give us the sum of all the E-line 8 exceptional groups, namely (4)  $(\bar{\alpha}_o) = 548.328$ . The second gives us the compactified Klein modular space which is E-infinity holographic boundary 338.8854382. For  $n = 4$  divided by 10 we find the compactified electroweak unification value of  $\bar{\alpha}_o = 137 + k_o$ , namely  $\bar{\alpha}_o(ew) = 128 + 8k = 129.4427194$ . For  $n = 6$  divided by 10 we find the well known modular lattice of Narayan  $D = 80$  [21]. Finally for 16 times Weyl differentiation one finds the fermions spectral dimension of R. Loll et al, namely  $D = 4.02$  which measures the fractal spreading out of spacetime-like cosmic growth. It is not the El Naschie E-infinity spacetime Hausdorff dimension  $4 + \phi^3$  nor the 'tHooft-El Naschie  $4 - k$  [3] but is something fundamentally related [5, 6, 30, 33].

## 2. A Nonconservative Derivation of an Extended Einstein Formula for Ordinary Energy and Dark Energy

We start with an epistemological reappraisal of Einstein's formula from a Lagrangian approach viewpoint which incidentally Einstein never attempted. This Lagrangian is clearly dependant on a single generalized coordinate, namely the ordinary photon  $\gamma_o$ . Consequently the equation of motion could in principle be generated from a corresponding Lagrangian  $L(\gamma_o)$  via the calculus of variation, i.e.

$$\delta L(\gamma_o) = 0
 \tag{24}$$

That way one finds the Eigenvalue to be the famous

equation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\gamma_o) &= mc^2 \\ &= E(\text{Einstein}) \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

However we know in the meantime extremely well that nature harbours far more than our classical photon and is really functioning based on 12 rather than a single messenger particle. In other words our submitted Einstein Lagrangian is missing eleven more generalized coordinates

To be precise the supposed Einstein Lagrangian should have included 3 more SU(2) particles of the electroweak, i.e. the experimentally verified  $W^+, \bar{W}$  and neutral current  $Z_0$  as well as the equally experimentally confirmed 8 gluons of SU(3) which together with the U(1) conventional photon form the basis of our current SU(3) SU(2) U(1) standard model of high energy physics. Not only that but from the physical theory of E-infinity which is based on the mathematical theory of the highly structured E-infinity golden mean rings we know that the exact fractal number weight of the 12 bosons of the standard model is exactly  $12 - 2\phi^4 = 11.70820339$  which is the square root of  $\bar{\alpha}_o = 137 + k_o = 137.082039325$  and more over correspond to 14 rather than 12 particles [31,32]. From this mathematically firm results we can relax our approach momentarily and make an educated guess based on gauge theory, fractal self similarity and Weyl-Nottale scaling namely that an adequate correction of Einstein's  $E = mc^2$  could be obtained by scaling down the eigenvalue using the number of the missing generalized coordinates, i.e. messenger particles of the standard model

$$\lambda(12-1) = 1/11 \tag{26}$$

This must be taken in conjunction with either super symmetry or simply Newton's kinetic energy so that we find at the end that

$$E(O) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{11}\right) (m)(v \rightarrow c)^2. \tag{27}$$

That means the ordinary energy-mass relationship should be [3-7]

$$E(O) = mc^2/22, \tag{28}$$

rather than simply  $E = mc^2$  found for a single photon  $\gamma_o$  by Einstein. Now we ask ourselves where is the rest of the energy, namely  $mc^2(21/22)$ ? We could speculate scientifically that there is no rest of energy and that is all what we have. However we could let ourselves be guided by cosmological measurements and observations that the rest is the mysterious dark energy which is suspected to be behind the accelerated rather than decelerated expansion of the cosmos. That could indeed be the case for two reasons. First because  $21/22 mc^2$  is indeed the indirectly measured missing dark energy. Second, and that is even more general, we know that the particle-wave duality is a fundamental real aspect of quantum physics and that the wave, although devoid of anything we could call ordinary matter, momentum or energy, has a real physical

effect which goes as far as telling the particle where to go. Thus we could make a second educated guess and wonder if we are facing what in mathematics is called a double Eigenvalue problem rather than a single Eigenvalue problem. That would mean that our nonconstructively found 12 equilibrium equations defined via a 12 by 12 solvability determinant

$$\delta L(\gamma_{o,1} \dots \gamma_{12}) = 0 \tag{29}$$

possesses two Eigenvalues and not merely one Eigenvalue. The first Eigenvalue is that found earlier on to be  $E(O) = mc^2/22$  while the second is inferred from the physical-mathematical scenario to be  $E(D) = 1 - E(O) = mc^2(21/22)$ . The above problem could be solved easily via comparison theorems for combined Eigenvalue problems due to Southwell and Dunkerly [12-19]. The corresponding formulas were widely used in the literature on vibration and buckling Eigen frequencies and critical loads. In E-infinity of high energy physics these comparison theorems were used as nested oscillators by L. Marek-Crnjac [36]. Since mass in quantum mechanics is basically frequency and consequently by Einstein's equivalence frequency is also energy, we can combine the ordinary energy and the dark energy Eigenvalues as we do for buckling loads or frequency of oscillation. In other words we can write

$$\frac{1}{E} = \frac{1}{E(O)} + \frac{1}{E(D)}. \tag{30}$$

Rearranging one finds

$$E = \frac{E(O)E(D)}{E(D)\left(1 + \frac{E(O)}{E(D)}\right)}. \tag{31}$$

That means

$$E = \frac{E(D)}{\left(1 + \frac{E(O)}{E(D)}\right)}. \tag{32}$$

Assuming that  $c$  in a fractal spacetime must be itself an expectation fractal value of a speed that varies between zero and infinity, we see that we can make the following identification 'transformation', namely [25]

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad E &\rightarrow E \\ (2) \quad E(D) &\rightarrow mc^2 = (5)(\phi)^2 / 2 \\ (3) \quad E(O) &\rightarrow mc^2 = (\phi^3)(\phi)^2 / 2 \\ (4) \quad 1 + \frac{E(O)}{E(D)} &\rightarrow 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{5\phi^2} \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

or the dual correspondence

$$1 + \frac{E(D)}{E(O)} \rightarrow 1 + \frac{5\phi^2}{\phi^5} \tag{34}$$

It is an elementary task to insert these values in the double Eigenvalue formula and find that the result agrees completely

with what we obtain from the corresponding Magueijo-Smolin famous extension of Einstein's  $E = mc^2$  [25]. Never the less what we did needs a little more elaboration. First in E-infinity theory the topological speed of light is given by an expectation constant transfinite value equal to the golden mean. Second, splitting spacetime into counter factual parts given by the Hausdorff expectation dimension  $4 + \phi^3 = 4.23606799$  and local part given by the pure entanglement of two Cantorian-fractal points thought outside spacetime, i.e.  $\phi^2$  ten we see that  $\phi^2$  corresponds to  $c^2$  and  $1/(4 + \phi^3) = \phi^3$  corresponds to the intrinsic probability of Cantorian spacetime or its frequency, that is to say its intrinsic topological mass. Seen that way  $mc^2$  of the Cantorian point in spacetime is  $(\phi^3)(\phi^2) = \phi^5$  which happens to be Hardy's probability for quantum entanglement of two quantum particles and therefore gives per particle a dimensionless topological energy density equal  $\phi^5/2$ . Moving now to the fat fractal voids between the zero set particle points of space, i.e. the empty set space we have a five dimensional Kaluza-Klein spacetime with a Kaluza-Klein topological mass equal to 5. That means  $E = mc^2$  becomes now  $E = (5)(\phi)^2$ . The average energy is obviously the average of both energies. The first  $mc^2 = (\phi^3)(\phi^2) = \phi^5$  is the energy density of the quantum particle zero set and the second  $mc^2 = 5\phi^2$  is clearly the energy density of the quantum wave empty set. The sum is

$$\phi^5 + 5\phi^2 = 2. \tag{35}$$

Consequently the average is

$$\frac{1}{2}(\phi^5 + 5\phi^2) = 1 \tag{36}$$

which leads to Einstein's original equation

$$E = mc^2 \tag{37}$$

The two other equations are consequently

$$\begin{aligned} E(O) &= (\phi^5 / 2)(mc^2) \\ &\approx mc^2 / 22 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

for ordinary energy and

$$\begin{aligned} E(D) &= (5\phi^2 / 2)(mc^2) \\ &\approx mc^2(21/22) \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

for dark energy. The sum of both energies gives Einstein's equation

$$\begin{aligned} E(O) + E(D) &= [(\phi^5 / 2) + (5\phi^2 / 2)]mc^2 \\ &= mc^2 \\ &= E(\text{Einstein}). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We stress again that  $E(O)$  and  $E(D)$  can be considered

experimentally confirmed with high accuracy because they totally agree with the cosmological measurements of COBE, WMAP and Planck as well as the supernova observations [1-3, 10]. In conclusion we may add that there is a very simple method to confirm the result of ordinary energy, i.e.  $1/22$  via the vacuum state of pure gravity applied to Einstein's 4D space and Witten's 11-D space. The degree of freedom of pure gravity is given as is well known by

$$D = d(d - 3) / 2 \tag{41}$$

Noting that Einstein's equation lives in  $d = 4$  and Witten's equation in  $d = 11$  one finds the following ratio for the Lorentzian factor of  $E = mc^2$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\text{Lorentz}) &= \frac{D(d=4)}{D(d=11)} = \frac{(4)(1)/2}{11(11-3)/2} \\ &= \frac{4}{(11)(8)} \\ &= 4 / 88 \\ &= 1 / 22 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

and

$$E(O) = (mc^2)(1 / 22). \tag{43}$$

We may add at this point that all experimental work to test  $E = mc^2$  is exophysical. The only endophysical experimental test of  $E = mc^2$  is that of WMAP and type 1 supernova leading to our result that  $E = (mc^2/22) + mc^2(21/22) = mc^2$  [39-45].

### 3. (Deriving the Lorentzian Factors

#### $\gamma = 21 / 22$ of Dark Energy

Let us first recall the relation between Einstein spacetime and that of 'tHooft fractal renormalization spacetime. The ratio of the respective dimensionality, i.e.  $D(\text{'tHooft})$  to  $D(\text{Einstein})$  defines the dark energy coupling. Thus from [3, 26]

$$D(\text{'tHooft}) = D(\text{Einstein}) - k \tag{44}$$

where  $k = \phi^3(1 - \phi^3)$ ,  $\phi = (\sqrt{5} - 1) / 2$  and  $D(\text{Einstein})$  which is of course equal four, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4 - k}{4} &= 1 - k / 4 \\ &= 1 - 2\phi^5 / 4 \\ &= 1 - \phi^5 / 2 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where  $\phi^5$  is Hardy's probability of quantum entanglement. Regarding the nice properties of the golden mean arithmetic, one easily finds that

$$1 - \phi^5 / 2 = 5\phi^2 / 2 \tag{46}$$

and therefore our coupling between the two spaces is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4-k}{4} &= 5\phi^5 / 2 \\ &= (21/22). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

To show that the preceding in the meantime well known result for the dark energy density of the cosmos is far from being an ad hoc one, we show in what follows how it can be logically deduced from the general framework of superstrings and Witten’s fractal M-theory.

We recall that  $|E8| = \text{Dim } E8 = 248$  and that the transfinitely corrected version is given by  $|E8| = 248 - (k/2) = 247.9837388$ . Now we have to take out of the  $|E8 \ E8| - k^2$  massless gauge bosons the  $11 + \phi^5$  dimensions of the fractal version of Witten’s  $D = 11$  M-theory. Since we are using two  $E8$  exceptional Lie symmetry groups we have to then subtract  $(2)(11 + \phi^5) = 22 + k$  which should not be confused with compactified bosonic dimensions even though they are numerically equal. Second we have to subtract the ‘tHooft coupling order parameter  $k$ . That way we have taken out all that is responsible for producing ordinary energy density. The ratio to the total of  $|E8 \ E8| - k^2$  is consequently the dark energy density in the  $|E8 \ E8| - k^2$  universe. This conclusion is easily verified by a trivial computation and one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\text{Dark}) &= \frac{(496 - k^2) - (22 + k) - k}{496 - k^2} \\ &= 5\phi^2 / 2 \\ &= (21 + k) / (22 + k) \\ &\cong 21 / 22 \\ &= 0.9545454... \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Some readers may still feel awkward about why we had to account for the  $k$  coupling in this way. To alleviate any doubt let us compute  $\gamma(D)$  in a different way without super symmetry. This way we have only  $D = 4$  and we know that the inverse non-super symmetric quantum gravity coupling is  $\bar{\alpha}_g = 42 + 2k$ . The new point of view starts again with the following self explanatory ratio

$$\frac{(496 - k_o) - (D(k - k)) = 5 - \bar{\alpha}_g}{(496 - k_o) - (D(\text{Einstein}) = 4)} \tag{49}$$

Now that we did not take any super symmetric intersection, we must take the square root because the above expression represents  $\gamma(D)$  squared. That means

$$(\gamma_D)^+ \times (\gamma_D)^- = (\gamma_D)^2 \tag{50}$$

Consequently

$$\gamma_D = \sqrt{\frac{(496 - k^2) - (47 + 2k)}{(496 - k^2) - 4}} \tag{51}$$

That way ‘tHooft  $k$  is not needed and the same result is of course found, namely that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_D &= \frac{\sqrt{449 - k^2 - 2k}}{\sqrt{492 - k^2}} \\ &= 5\phi^2 \\ &\cong 21/22 \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

exactly as before.

## 4. Casimir-like Energy and Wider Technological Aspects of Utilizing Dark Energy

From the preceding discussion and the very mathematical derivation of the dark energy density not as a missing energy equal unity minus the ordinary energy density but as a density directly related to the empty set Hausdorff dimension in  $D = 5$ , i.e.  $5\phi^2$  it follows that dark energy is the nature of the true vacuum [3-7]. In other words dark energy is deeply related in intricate and mostly indirect ways to the century old dreams of people like Tesla [37] but also to real well known and established effects such as the experimentally observed Casimir effect [38]. In particular this effect is related to the wave and since the wave is modelled in our theory with the empty set, it follows that the Casimir effect is governed by  $\phi^2$ . It is thus possible that dark energy is the K-K, i.e. Klein-Kaluza form of the Casimir effect. In any event we have to start by measuring dark energy in a direct way, not just as inference from the accelerated expansion of the cosmos. To do that we need a quantum wave non-demolition measuring instrument which has not yet been designed, not even in the most rudimentary form. However and with reference to Hawking’s radiation, Casimir experiments and Unruh temperature [25-28], it is conceivable that we will be in a position to experiment with dark energy technologies in the not too distant future. That would be the ultimate literally infinite source of clean energy for the human race. This prospect is not far fetched in view of generalizing  $E = (5\phi^2 / 2)mc^2$  to  $E = (n\phi^2 / 2)mc^2$  where  $n$  could be  $n = 2$  or space dimension  $n = 3$  or even spacetime  $n = 4$ . It should not be difficult to show experimentally that these correspond to Casimir-like energies.

## 5. Conclusion

Einstein’s equation  $E = mc^2$ , without Einstein or in fact anyone else realizing until recently, consists of two quantum components. The first is the energy of the quantum particle  $E(O) = mc^2/22$  which can be readily measured. The second is the energy of what is actually energyless quantum waves  $E(D) = mc^2(21/22)$  and is intimately related to the Casimir effect. Obviously we say energyless because this is not ordinary energy and it is not a coincidence that it agrees exactly with the energy density of the presumed dark energy of the cosmos. Adding both energies together we are back to the classical relativistic equation of Einstein. These fundamental results were obtained in the last three years or so using various methods.

In the present paper the problem was nonconstructively solved as a double Eigenvalue problem using the comparison theorems of Southwell and Dunkerley. In addition we validate the results via Witten's eleven dimensional theory as well as 'tHooft's fractal renormalization spacetime theory.

## References

- [1] S. Perlmutter et al.: Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration. "Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernova." *Astrophys. J.* Vol. 517, pp. 565 – 585. Astro-ph/9812133, 1999.
- [2] R. Panek: Dark Energy: The Biggest Mystery in The Universe. The Smithsonian Magazine. <http://www.smithsonianmagazine.com/science-nature/Dark-Energy-April>, 2010.
- [3] Mohamed S. El Naschie: On a new elementary particle from the disintegration of the symplectic 't Hooft-Veltman-Wilson fractal spacetime. *World Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*, Vol, 4(4), 2014, pp. 216-221.
- [4] Mohamed S. El Naschie: Pinched material Einstein space-time produces accelerated cosmic expansion. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*. 4(1), 2014, pp. 80-90.
- [5] M. A. Helal, L. Marek-Crnjac, Ji-Huan He: The three page guide to the most important results of M. S. El Naschie's research in E-infinity quantum physics and cosmology. *Open Journal of Microphysics*, Vol. 3(4), 2013, pp. 141-145.
- [6] L. Marek-Crnjac, Ji-Huan He: An invitation to El Naschie's theory of Cantorian space-time and dark energy. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, Vol. 3(4), 2013, pp. 464-471.
- [7] Mohamed S. El Naschie: A Rindler-KAM spacetime geometry and scaling the Planck scale solves quantum relativity and explains dark energy. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, Vol. 3(4), 2013, pp. 483-493.
- [8] Jean-Paul Auffray: E-Infinity dualities, discontinuous spacetimes, Xonic quantum physics and the decisive experiment. *Journal of Modern Physics*, Vol. 5(15), 2014, pp. 1427-1436.
- [9] Mohamed S. El Naschie: Quantum entanglement as a consequence of a Cantorian micro spacetime geometry. *Journal of Quantum Information Science*, Vol. 1(2), 2011, pp. 50-53.
- [10] L. Amendola and S. Tsujikawa: *Dark Energy: Theory and Observations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2010.
- [11] M.S. El Naschie: A resolution of the cosmic dark energy via quantum entanglement relativity theory. *Journal of Quantum Information Science*, Vol. 3(1), 2013, pp. 23-26.
- [12] J.J. Thomsen: *Vibration and Stability: Advanced Theory, Analysis and Tools*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2003. (See in particular pp. 59 for composite Eigenvalue problems, Dunkerley and Southwell's formulas).
- [13] M.S. El Naschie: Coupled oscillation and mode locking of quantum gravity fields, scale relativity and E-infinity space. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 12(1), 2001, pp.179-192.
- [14] M.S. El Naschie: On Cotterill-Castigliano's principle, Rayleigh-Timonsenko quotient and post buckling analysis. *ZAMM (Journal of Applied Mathematics & Mechanics)*, T32-T34, Vol. 61, 1981. (See in particular equation No. 13 and Fig 1 for a proposed physical experiment).
- [15] T. Tamai: The Southwell and The Dunkerley Theorems. In 'Summation Theorems in Structural Stability', International Centre for Mechanical Sciences, Springer, Berlin, Germany, Vol. 354, 1995, pp. 141-185.
- [16] M.S. El Naschie and A. H. Mansouri: On the ultimate load of circular plates under eccentric radial pressure. *Journal of Engineering Science of the University of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*, Vol. 6(1), 1980, pp. 103-106.
- [17] M.S. El Naschie and S. Al Athel: Some extensions of the theorem of Dunkerley. *Proceedings of the Eighth Canadian Congress of Applied Mechanics*, June 7-12, 1981, pp. 513-514.
- [18] M.S. El Naschie: Plastic buckling loads and the theorem of Dukerley. In 'Stress, Stability and Chaos'. McGraw Hill International editions, London, 1990, pp. 370-373.
- [19] M.S. El Naschie and A. Mansouri: On the design of reinforced concrete columns. *Journal of Engineering Science of the University of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*, Vol. 6(1), 1980, pp. 101-102.
- [20] E-Infinity Group: E-Infinity Communications Nos. 1 to 90. [E-infinityenergyblogspot.com](http://E-infinityenergyblogspot.com).
- [21] M. Kaku: *Strings, Conformal Fields and M-Theory*. Springer, New York, USA, 2000.
- [22] M. Duff: *The world in eleven dimensions*. IOP Publishing, Bristol, UK. 1999.
- [23] Mohamed S. El Naschie: Nash embedding of Witten's M-theory and the Hawking-Hartle quantum wave of dark energy. *Journal of Modern Physics*, Vol. 4(10), 2013, pp. 1417-1428.
- [24] Mohamed S. El Naschie: Entanglement of E8E8 exceptional Lie symmetry group dark energy, Einstein's maximal total energy and the Hartle-Hawking no boundary proposal as the explanation for dark energy world. *Journal of Condensed Matter Physics*, Vol. 4(2), 2014, pp. 74-77, 2014.
- [25] M.S. El Naschie: A topological Magueijo-Smolin varying speed of light theory, the accelerated cosmic expansion and the dark energy of pure energy. *Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 5, 2014, pp. 1780-1790.
- [26] Mohamed S. El Naschie: Cosmic dark energy from 't Hooft's dimensional regularization and Witten's topological quantum field pure gravity. *Journal of Quantum Information Science*, Vol. 4(2), 2014, pp. 83-91.
- [27] M.S. El Naschie: Logarithmic running of 'tHooft-Polyakov monopole to dark energy. *International Journal of High Energy Physics*, Vol. 1(1), 2014, pp. 1-5.
- [28] M.S. El Naschie: The quantum gravity Immirzi parameter—A general physical and topological interpretation. *Gravitation and Cosmology*, Vol. 19(3), 2013, pp. 151-155.
- [29] M.S. El Naschie: To dark energy theory from a Cosserat-like model of spacetime. *Problems of Nonlinear Analysis in Engineering Systems*, vol. 20(1-41), 2014, pp. 79-98.
- [30] M.S. El Naschie: A review of E-infinity and the mass spectrum of high energy particle physics. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 19(1), 2004, pp. 209-236.

- [31] M.S. El Naschie: The theory of Cantorian spacetime and high energy particle physics (An informal review). *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 41(5), 2009, pp. 2635-2646.
- [32] M. S. El Naschie, S. Olsen, J. H. He, S. Nada, L. Marek-Crnjac, A. Helal: On the need for fractal logic in high energy quantum physics. *International Journal of Modern Nonlinear Theory and Application*, Vol. 1(3), 2012, pp 84-92.
- [33] Mohamed S. El Naschie: A Rindler-KAM spacetime geometry and scaling the Planck scale solves quantum relativity and explains dark energy. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, Vol. 3(4), 2013 pp. 483-493.
- [34] A. Connes: *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, USA, 1994.
- [35] M.S. El Naschie: From classical gauge theory back to Weyl scaling via E-infinity spacetime. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 38, 2008, pp. 980-985.
- [36] L. Marek-Crnjac: The mass spectrum of high energy elementary particles via El Naschie's E-infinity golden mean nested oscillators and the Dunkerley Southwell eigenvalue theorems and KAM. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 18(1), 2003, pp. 125-133.
- [37] R. Lomas: *The Man Who Invented The Twentieth Century*. First published by Headline Book Publishing, 1999. This edition is by QCS e-Books 2011.
- [38] J. Schwinger: Casimir energy for dielectrics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, Vol. 89(9), 1992, pp. 4091-4093.
- [39] O.E. RöSSLer: *Endophysics*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [40] M.S. El Naschie: On a general theory for quantum gravity. In 'Science of the Interface. Editor H. Diebner, T. Druckrey and P. Weibel. Genista Verlag, Tübingen, Germany, 2001.
- [41] O.E. RöSSLer, Boscovich's observer-centered explanation of the nonclassical nature of reality. In: *Symposium on the Foundations of Modern Physics*, Joensuu, Aug.13-17, 1990 (K.V. Laurikainen, org.), Extended Abstracts, pp.153-156. Turku, Finland: Report Series, Publications of the Department of Physical Sciences, University of Turku 1990.
- [42] O.E. RöSSLer, A possible explanation of quantum mechanics. In: *Advances in Information Systems Research* (G.E. Lasker, T. Koizumi and J. Pohl, eds.), Windsor: The International Institute for Advanced Studies in Systems Research and Cybernetics 1991, pp. 581-589.
- [43] O.E. RöSSLer, *Endophysik: Die Welt des inneren Beobachters* (ed. by Peter Weibel). Berlin: Merve Verlag 1992.
- [44] O.E. RöSSLer and J.O. RöSSLer: The endo approach. *Applied Mathematics & Computation*, 1994, pp. 281-287.
- [45] O.E. RöSSLer and M.S. El Naschie: Interference through causality vacillation. In: *Symposium on the Foundations of Modern Physics 94*, Helsinki, June 13-16, 1994 (T. Hyvönen, org.), Extended Abstracts, Turku, Finland: Report Series Publication of the Department of Physical Sciences, University of Turku 1994.
- [46] A. Wilson, C. Johansson et al.: Observation of the dynamical Casimir effect in a superconducting circuit. *Nature*, Vol. 479, No. 7373, 2011, pp. 376-379.
- [47] R. Caldwell: A phantom menace? Cosmological consequences of a dark energy component with super negative equation of state. *Physics Letters B*, Vol. 45, No. 1-2, 2002, pp. 23-29.
- [48] J. Cugnon: The Casimir effect and the vacuum energy-duality in the physical interpretation. *Few-Body Systems*, Vol. 53, No. 1-2, 2012, pp. 181-188.