

Assessment of Nurses' Perceptions and Barriers on Evidence Based Practice in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital Addis Ababa Ethiopia

G. Hadgu¹, S. Almaz^{2,3}, S. Tsehay²

¹School of Nursing, Axum University, Axum, Ethiopia

²School of Nursing, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

³Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Email address:

hadguellen1@gmail.com (G. Hadgu)

To cite this article:

G. Hadgu, S. Almaz, S. Tsehay. Assessment of Nurses' Perceptions and Barriers on Evidence Based Practice in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital Addis Ababa Ethiopia. *American Journal of Nursing Science*. Vol. 4, No. 3, 2015, pp. 73-83. doi: 10.11648/j.ajns.20150403.15

Abstract: Background: Historically, nurses have relied on expert' opinions in clinical decision-making. However, these ways of practicing may not only be outdated but unsafe. Experienced-based knowledge may be associated with biased thinking that lead to errors. Objective: Assessment of nurses' perceptions, level of use and barriers on Evidence Based Practice. Method: Two hundred ten nurses were assessed through self-administer questionnaires for quantitative and fifteen nurses were also involved in in-depth interview for qualitative. The quantitative data was analysis in SPSS version 21. The qualitative was analyzed through open code. Results: 90% and 73.8% have good perception and positive attitude respectively. Of the total 210 121(57.6%) of nurses were integrate EBP in their clinical practice. Only 19 (15.7%) use EBP in their clinical practice always. Knowledgeable (AOR 3.2 95%CI 1.5-7.0); skill, (AOR 2.4, 95%CI 1.1-5.4); free time (AOR 7.9, 95%CI 3.5-17.6); supportive nursing managers (AOR 5, 95%CI 1.7-14.5) have significant association with implementation of EBP. But, year of experience and perception have no association. Conclusion: Both individual and organizational factors are the predominant factors that hinder implementation of EBP. So, hospital managements and nursing leaders can easily overcome some of these barriers through arranging EBP training.

Keywords: Barriers, Evidence Based Practice, Nursing, Perception

1. Introduction

Medical and health care is one of the most dynamic human disciplines, and large amounts of money are spent annually on high-quality and sophisticated research, resulting in an exponential growth in healthcare literature. Regularly, new and more effective medicines, medical devices, and procedures are invented. One major objective behind all these efforts is to help doctors, nurses, and medical technicians provide the best possible care and treatment to patients. In addition to using traditional and well established procedures and practices, health care practitioners are adopting innovative interventions that are based on best practices as well as solid research-based evidence.(1)

Nursing is a science and therefore it is essential to derive its knowledge from the findings of research. Scientific research is the standard by which sciences derive knowledge. Research findings define, explain, and identify phenomena fundamental to nursing care. Nursing practice serves as the source for

research questions, while research serves as the foundation for current practice. Practice and research therefore exist in a circular continuum with one another (2)

Nursing staff are the largest health professional group in all sectors of healthcare (3) The majority of nurses work in direct care of patients; assessing patients' needs and making decisions on nursing interventions. Nurses' practice of EBP can be assumed to have a major impact on patients' outcomes and patient safety. Hence, there is a potential to improve quality of care and patient safety by enhancing Nurses' practice of EBP. Interventions aiming to enhance Nurses' practice of EBP need to target the factors that are important for EBP. (4)

Today, EBP, which is the use of theory-derived research-based findings along with reliable forms of evidence in clinical decision-making, is essential to the practice of nursing for purposes of promoting optimal patient outcomes through incorporating research findings, the clinicians' experience, and patient preferences. (5) Historically, nurses

have relied on expert' opinions of seasoned nurses in clinical decision-making. (6) However, these traditional ways of practicing may not only be outdated but unsafe (5). Also, experienced-based knowledge may be associated with biased thinking that lead to errors (2, 7-8)

EBP is not clinical problem solving. While evidence-based practice is a mechanism for solving clinical problems and making decisions about interventions, it is distinct from traditional problem-solving approaches in health care. Conventional decision making about clinical practices relied on expert opinion sometimes achieved by consensus, but rarely through experimentation combined with "standard practice." EBP is a systematic process of reviewing the best available research evidence and then incorporating clinical experience and patient preferences into the mix. (9)

The EBP process need not be onerous and basically includes five elements: (1) formulating an appropriate question, (2) performing an efficient literature search, (3) critically appraising the best available evidence, (4) applying the best evidence to clinical practice, and (5) assessing outcomes of care. (10)

In fact, evidence alone is never sufficient to make a specific clinical decision about a specific patient. The clinician needs evidence plus good judgment, clinical skill, and knowledge of the patient's unique needs to apply evidence to a specific patient care situation. The definition of evidence-based practice, in fact, holds evidence as only one element of the triad of decision making. Clinical judgment and patient values must be considered when applying the evidence to a single situation. Patient care, on the other hand, requires a holistic approach to the care of individuals with physical, psychosocial, and/or spiritual needs. This care is founded on the provider-patient relationship and an appreciation of the patient's unique needs. (9)

Finally, studies continue to prove that nursing practice is still not based on best available evidence. This call for a need to specifically research further hence in trying to address the problems raised, the researcher was used a qualitative and quantitative approach with the hope of providing better understanding of the research problems.

Healthcare organizations face considerable challenges in ensuring patient care is based on the best available evidence. Studies consistently demonstrate a failure to implement interventions that have been shown to be both effective and cost-effective. (11) Although this gap between evidence and practice is common to all healthcare settings, failure to bridge this gap in developing countries can have serious consequences and hinder progress towards better health. (12) Valuable resources continue to be used for practices that are out of date, have no demonstrable benefit or are even harmful, while interventions that have been shown to be both inexpensive and effective have not been widely implemented. (13) Many published articles and advances on EBP; nursing practice is still not based on evidence. The provision of care in the Hospital is widely demanding and requires high level critical thinking and decision making skills inherent in the nursing profession there by demanding

the use of EBP for nursing.

Although Evidence-Based Clinical Practice (EBCP) is known to improve the quality of health care, making it cost-efficient while improving clinical results, barriers for transferring research into clinical practice challenge this process, which, in their final state, would culminate in what Davis called 'the adoption process. (14) Dijkstra, synthesized some barriers and facilitators, as well as interventions, to improve the implementation of new knowledge, identifying that they depend, basically, on factors associated to the professionals, the organization they work for and their management policies. (15)

Currently more and more developments are being advocated and more international organizations are fostering the need of incorporating EBP. EBP is the theme in most nursing conferences both nationally and internationally and more continuing professional development programs targeting EBP are being developed. (16)

Across Africa (for example South Africa, Botswana, Kenya, Malawi, Nigeria), EBP is being advocated for nurses. EBP is also being emphasized in Africa but, Africa lags behind in research as fewer nurses are available who are sufficiently prepared at higher level to enable them to conduct research because of few higher institutions and exodus of nurses to developed countries for greener pastures. Lack of funding is also another obstacle for the nurses to conduct research regardless of having research knowledge. (17)

Especially the newly graduated and less experienced nurses may perceive EBP to be useful, as they have recently learned in nursing curriculum's (2). However, 'expert' nurses may have difficulty translating evidence to practice because of their traditional way of thinking about practice and their use of methods that were successful in the past. (18) Moreover, nurses may not have the skill or expertise how to obtain the research evidence from the literature or how to apply the evidence. Ultimately, nurses' beliefs regarding attitudes toward EBP was influence their use of EBP. Despite the benefits, barriers do exist which impede adopting EBP and its use.

In recent years, there has been increased attention to evidence-based nursing practice. The number of systematic reviews and resources for evidence-based practice has also risen. Despite these factors, application of evidence to practice remains challenging for nurses as well as for other clinicians. (19)

The five greatest barriers to evidence-based practice were 1) insufficient time to find research reports, 2) insufficient time to find organizational information (such as guidelines and protocols), 3) lack of confidence in assessing the quality of research, 4) difficulty in understanding English-language publications and insufficient time at work to implement changes in practice. (20-21) Several studies have attempted to analyze this phenomenon from different perspectives, such as the influence of knowledge management (22-23), attitudes, values or training in the process of knowledge transferrable into clinical practice. (24-25) Barriers perceived by professionals concerning the use of research into clinical

practice have been studied(26-27), as well as the lack of support of health organizations towards EBCP (28)Most of the studies were conducted on developed country which the factors may rise in developing country like Ethiopia.(28-35)

Similarly, Research suggests that the implementation of EBP by staff nurses is problematic and influenced by attitude, barriers and knowledge. Some studies have already proposed the complexity of the variables involved in the transferrable of knowledge into clinical practice. It is worrying to observe how, along the route that lies between the production of knowledge and the clinical decision of professionals, there is a progressive decrease in knowledge, in favor of beliefs, opinions, etc(11, 35-36).

Evidence-based practice requires making professional decisions based on systematically gathered evidence drawn from research and from experience and on the patients' desires and needs in a specific situation (37) Public authorities and professional organizations, international and national organizations (12, 37-39) have promoted making evidence-based practice the standard for health services. Even though Several studies have attempted to analyze this phenomenon from different perspectives, such as the influence of knowledge management, attitudes, values or training in the process of knowledge transfer into clinical practice, and barriers perceived by professionals concerning the use of research into clinical practice have been studied, as well as the lack of support of health organizations towards EBCP, the detail factors are not analyzed, especially in developing country like Ethiopia.

Although the benefit is that evidence-based health services was be better able to meet the challenges of improving patient safety and the quality of services the implementation was seen as difficult due to different factors. Literatures on EBP in developing country especially Ethiopia is scarce. Since the factors are many and different in developing country than developed, the literatures were not representative.

Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital was affiliated with the Addis Ababa University's providing tertiary care in a country, Training center for undergraduate and postgraduate medical students. Similarly a reputation for excellence in research, staffed with the most senior specialists, managing patients with complex illness and exposed to highly specialized care also serving as a practical educational site for students and health care providers in addition to the daily patient care. This hospital was one of the hospitals expected to implement EBP. So, this cross-sectional design was assess the perception of nurses and barriers on implementation of EBP.

Health care consumers, society, government, and third-party payers expect care based on the latest evidence. (40) Evidence based practice assists bedside nurses in decision making and accountability for their own practice and (41) Care of the individual, policies and procedures, patient care management tools through improving Health care decisions and interventions. (42)

Generally this study is significant for nurses and healthcare professionals to provide the highest quality of care in meeting the needs of patients and families as a whole Specifically it

Improved patient outcomes, decrease health care costs, which is a priority of governmental and funding agencies.

2. Objectives

2.1. General Objective

To assess nurses' perceptions and barriers on evidence based practice implementation in clinical practice in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital

2.2. Specific Objectives

To assess nurses' perception about evidence based practice in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital.

To determine the level of use evidence based practice in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital.

To assess barriers to implement evidence based practice in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital.

3. Methodology

3.1. Study Area

The study was carried out in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital which is found in Addis Ababa (capital city of Ethiopia) in lideta sub-city. According to Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (CSA), as of 2007 the town of Addis Ababa has a total population of 2,739,551, of whom 1,305,387 are men and 1,434,164 women. In the town, most people are engaged in commercial activities, include shops, food and drink establishment, workshops, flour mills and transport sector (though inadequate) predominate. Originally TASH was built to accommodate 500 beds and currently has more than 600 beds. Of note, the hospital was having a compiled Drug and equipment list during its establishment which was published in 1967 GC.

It serves about 250,000 patients per year in its outpatient department and about 24,000 in the inpatient and same number in the emergency departments. It is also the largest teaching hospital of the country; it trains large number of undergraduate medical students as well as several residents and fellows. It is a center to produce instructors for the various medical schools in the country as well. The hospital has more than 1700 medical and non-medical staff. Of these 433(396 BSc, 10 MSc and 27 diploma) are nurses who offers inpatient, outpatient and emergency services in about 20 special clinics and units. The ratio of nurse to population in TASH is 1:3000.

3.2. Study Design

Institution based Cross sectional study design using quantitative and qualitative methods was employed for this study. For quantitative, self-administered questionnaires' was used to assess perception and barriers on EBP implementation. For qualitative study, In-depth interview was used to assess nurses' perceptions on EBP implementation in clinical practice in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital.

3.3. Source and Study Population

The source and study population was all nurses of Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital.

3.4. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

3.4.1. Inclusion Criteria

All BSc and above nurses were included in the study. Since BSc and above nurses were expected to implement new research findings in clinical setting (EBP) using supportive evidence.

3.4.2. Exclusion Criteria

Nurses who were on post graduate program and diplomas were exclude both in quantitative (self-administered questionnaire) and qualitative (in-depth interview) study since:

Post-graduate Nurses- were not available during the time of data collection and may not have knowledge about the current practice in the hospital setting.

Diploma Nurses- in nursing curriculum research was not incorporated extensively for diploma nurses and have no research experience so, EBP was not expected from them.

3.5. Sample Size Determination

15 BSc and above nurses were taken for qualitative whereas 217 BSc and above nurses were taken for the quantitative using correction formula as follows:

$$n = \left(\frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{d} \right)^2 P(1-P)$$

$$n = (1.96)^2 * \alpha/2 * 0.5(1-0.5) / (0.05)^2 n = 384$$

Since the study population were 406 which is below 10,000 the study use correction formula as follow:

$$N_c = 197$$

10% of the sample size was added for non-response rate so that a total of 217 study subjects were needed. Where:

N_c = corrected sample size, n = sample size, N = study population,

P = since no previous study was found 0.5 prevalence is used,

d = the margin of sampling error tolerated (0.05),

Z_1 = the standard normal variables at (1-x) % confidence level and $\alpha/2$ is mostly 5% that was 95 % confidence interval.

3.6. Sampling Procedures

A total of 210 BSc and above nurses were taken from 406 BSc and above nurses using random sampling. Using proportional 44 were nurses' case team co-coordinator from 83 whereas 166 were staff nurses from 323 staff nurses purposively. For in-depth interview 15 BSc and above nurses were selected randomly from 189 BSc and above nurses who were not selected for quantitative. 12 BSc and above staff nurses from 150 BSc and above staff nurses were selected randomly by lottery method. Similarly 3 BSc and above case team coordinators were selected randomly by lottery method from 39 BSc and above case team coordinators who were not involved in quantitative. From the 15 BSc and above nurses, the 3 case team coordinators were selected proportionally for in-depth interview.




Fig. 3.1. Sampling procedure.

3.7. Data Collection Procedures (Instrument, Personnel, Data Quality Control)

The study was continuously collect primary data through data collection tools which were adopted from Majid et.al (2011) and modified after review of literature. The

quantitative study tools were divided into three sections. The first section collects demographic information. The second include nurses' beliefs and attitudes toward implementing EBP. The third questionnaire focuses on barriers of implementing EBP. All sections were constructed on a 5-point Likert scale, that ranges from strongly agree (1) to strongly disagree (5).

There were six statements in the beliefs and attitude scale. Scores could range between five and thirty.

The third component Adopting from Majid et al., 2011 modified to 27 statements to which Participants respond on a 5-point Likert scale that ranges from strongly agree (1) to strongly disagree as (5). Scores could range from 27 to 135. For qualitative study 7 questions were prepared with their probes.

To assure the quality of the data emphasis were given in designing and translation of data collection instruments. The data was collected through self-administer questionnaires and in-depth interview through audio recording. The questioner was prepared in English as study subjects were BSc and above. For in-depth interview the questionnaires were translated to local language (Amharic) for its simplicity, validity and clarity the questionnaire. Prior to data collection pre-test was conducted on 10 % (22) of study subjects on Zewditu Hospital Nurses. Before the actual data collection, 3 data collectors (BSc Nurses) and supervisors were trained thoroughly with close supervision for 2 days on how to fill the questionnaires, aim of the study, on confidentiality of the collected data from respective nurses. To avoid low response rates the study was collected through three shift program of Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital.

The reliability of the tool was checked after the pre-test (22 nurses) for each sub-scale (three sections). The overall reliability cronbach alph coefficient ($r=0.7$). After pre-test and revision from experts some modifications were incorporated for its validity.

3.8. Operational Definitions

EBP: Evidence-based practice was an approach in which critically examined literature and research findings are used to provide nursing care that is safe and modern.

Perception: how nurses' perceive (beliefs and attitudes) the importance of EBP in their clinical setting. 5-point Likert scale, that ranges from five or more answers agree or strongly agree have positive perception from nine questionnaires.

Barriers: were obstacles for implementing EBP. These barriers could be related to the nurses' experience, the environment, resources, and lack of administrative support.

Level of use EBP: Nurses used EBP in the clinical setting self-rated by three options (Sometimes, usually, and always)

Implementation: application of new research findings in clinical practice.

Positive attitude and beliefs: three or more answers agree or strongly agree from six questionnaires

Negative attitude and beliefs: three or more answers disagree or strongly disagree from six questionnaires

Knowledgeable: Five and above questionnaires' answers from eight questionnaires' agree or strongly agree unless not knowledgeable.

Have Skill: Four and above questionnaires' answers from seven questionnaires' agree or strongly agree

Have No Skill: three or more answers disagree or strongly disagree from seven questionnaires'

3.9. Variables

3.9.1. Dependent Variables

The dependent variables were nurses' perception on EBP, barriers on implementation of EBP, level of use of EBP

3.9.2. Independent Variable

Demographic variables: Age, sex, occupation, religion, Ethnicity, Educational level and marital status

Individual variables knowledge, skill, work load, years of experience, educational level, available of research and attitude and confidence in practicing EBP, as well as

Organizational factors such as supportive leadership, organizational climate and access to resources were independent variables.

3.10. Data Analysis Procedures

First the data were coded and interred to epi info version 3.54 and exported to analysis in SPSS version 21 window7. Data analysis included descriptive statistics were used to describe participants' demographic characteristics, and texts, tables, and graphs were used to present the results. To determine statistically significant between dependent and Independent variables logistic regression was used. For the qualitative the data Word processing and open code were used in the analysis. The data was Transcript in to English. Following templates were prepared. The ideas were code in to: 1) perception and attitude 2) barriers and facilitators 3) understanding/knowledge of EBP 4) future interventions.

3.11. Ethical Consideration

Institution Review Board (IRB) of Addis Ababa University, College of Health Science, School of Allied Health Sciences, Department of Nursing and Midwifery was review the protocol to insure full protection of the rights of study subjects. Following the approval by IRB, Official letter of co-operation was written to Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital from Department of Nursing and Midwifery of AAU. After getting permission from Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital, data collectors were informed about the study, then after Verbal and written Informed consent obtained from study subjects, Confidentiality was assured for all the information provided, no personal identifiers (anonymity) were used on the questionnaires.

4. Result

4.1. Socio-Demographic Characteristics

Of the 217 nurses invited to participate, 210 (96.8) returned completed questionnaires. The response rate was 96.8%. The respondents were 156 (74.3%) female and 54 (25.7%) male. 164 (70%) were staff nurses and 44 (30%) were Case team coordinator nurses. Of the 210 study subjects 133 (63.3%) were orthodox followers. 119 (54.7 %) and 94 (44.8%) of the study subjects were single marital status and Amharic ethnicity respectively. Most of the study subjects were BSc

which accounts 202 (96.2%). 15 (3 heads and 12 staff nurses) were taken for in-depth interview as the data were saturated.

Table 4.1. Socio-demographic characteristics of Nurses in TASH from April-May 2014 G.C.

S,N	Variables	Research Design	
		Quantitative Frequency (n=210) (%)	Qualitative Frequency (n=15) (%)
1	Age :20-24yr	42(20)	2(13.3)
	25-29yr	84(40)	4(26.7)
	30-34yr	33(15.7)	1(6.7)
	35-39yr	11(5.2)	2(13.3)
	40-44yr	15(7.1)	2(13.3)
	45-49yr	14(6.7)	2(13.3)
	50-54yr	5(2.4)	2(13.3)
	55-59yr	4(1.9)	---
	60-64yr	1(0.5)	---
2	>64yr	1(0.5)	---
	Sex Male	54(25.7)	4(26.7)
3	Female	156(74.3)	11(73.3)
	Marital status: Single	119(56.7)	9(60)
4	Married	87(41.4)	6(40)
	Divorced	4(1.9)	---
5	Ethnicity: Oromo	63(30)	4(26.7)
	Ahmara	95(95)	9(60)
	Tigrie	23(11)	2(13.3)
	Guarage	22(22)	---
6	Other	7(3.3)	---
	Religion: Orthodox	133(63.3)	14(93.3)
	Muslim	16(7.6)	---
	Protestant	50(23.8)	1(13.3)
7	Catholic	4(1.9)	---
	Other	7(3.3)	---
8	Year of experience:		
	1-5yr	134(63.8)	6(40)
	6-10yr	38(18.1)	8(53.3)
	11-15yr	4(1.9)	---
	16-20yr	5(2.4)	1(13.3)
	>20yr	29(13.8)	---
9	Education level: Degree (level-5)	202(96.2)	14(93.3)
	Masters and above	8(3.8)	1(13.3)
10	Level of position: Staff Nurses	166(79)	12(80)
	Head Nurses	44(21)	3(20)

4.2. Nursing Perception Towards Implementation of Evidence Based Practice

To address the perception of nurses towards implementation of EBP 9 question were administered with likert-scale ranging from strongly agrees to strongly disagree for each question. Similarly their attitude and belief were assessed with similar fashion by 6 questions. A set of 15 statements were used to investigate the overall perception, beliefs and attitudes of nurses toward integrating EBP into their patient care. Similarly Open-ended questions were used to permit participants to express their opinions, perceptions, attitude and belief towards EBP. For overall decision of perception to EBP, total score of the statements were dichotomized to good perception and not good perception based on the score. Participants having more than half agree/strongly agree were considered have good perception. Half and below disagree/strongly disagree were considered have not good perception. 189 (90%) have good perception to EBP. Similarly from the in-depth-interview most nurses view EBP is good for the quality of care but due to workload, lack of resources, having not knowledge the integration of EBP was poor .the

following illustrations support the welcoming attitude:

"Knowing that you are doing EBP probably improves the care and....intellectually you gain something from an individual point."

"(All: nodding to this statement)....Knowledge on EBP in nurses is available my practice is not all the time evidence-based. There are times when I do not know things and I do not have even time to sit down."

"I think EBP is good for pt's improvement, sometimes make use of the ward rounds to ask for information from the doctors or other colleagues."

"I do not use EBP practice because I don't have knowing EBP implementation, there is no materials to practice on, for example, no access to internet, training on EBP"

The attitude and believe of nurses were also welcoming or positive which accounts 155 (73.8%). Only 55 (26.2%) have negative attitude and belief towards implementation of EBP. This was well supported by the in-depth interview which resulted most were interested to implement if training was given. Regardless of the high percentage of good perception and positive attitude, the correlation analysis showed no

association with implementation of EBP ($r=0.035$, $p=0.6$).

4.3. Level of Use Evidence Based Practice in TASH




Fig. 4.1. Level of use EBP in TASH by nurses in 2014 G.C.

Of the total 210 121 (57.6%) of nurses were integrate EBP in their clinical practice. Their levels of use were rated by self-report with three options (sometimes, usually and always).

Only 19 (15.7%) use EBP in their clinical practice always.

4.4. Barriers of Implementation of EBP among Nurses in TASH

There are several barriers, which exist in preventing the profession of nursing to transition from the use of 'traditional' methods to research-supported approaches to practice. Among the determinants, supportive nursing managers have significant association with implementation of EBP both in bivariate and multi-variate analysis (OR: 2.5, 95%CI: 1.13-5.4 and AOR: 5, 95%CI: 1.7-14.5) respectively. Similarly age at 30-34yrs has association with EBP with (AOR: 3.74, 95%CI: 1.2-11.5). Those knowledgeable were 3 times higher in implementation of EBP than who have no knowledge for implementation of EBP. Similarly those with skill were 2.4 times higher in implementation of EBP than have no skill. Having free time was also has significant association with implementation of EBP with AOR: 7.9, 95%CI: 3.5-17.6. AOR: 5, 95%CI: 1.7-14.5, respectively.

Table 4.2. Multi-variate analyses of barriers in relation to EBP among Nurses in TASH from April-May 2014 G.C. (n=210).

S.N	Variables	Frequency (%)	Crude OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)
1	Age : 20-24yr	42(20)	1	1
	25-29yr	84(40)	0.87(0.4-1.83)	1.5(0.59-4.1)
	30-34yr	33(15.7)	3.7(1.32-10.4)*	9.47(2.4-36.7)
	35-39yr	11(5.2)	1.14(0.3-4.35)	3.3(0.5-22.6)
	40-44yr	15(7.1)	0.83(0.26-2.7)	1.9(0.19-19.5)
	45-49yr	14(6.7)	6.0(1.2-30.2)*	10(0.45-223.7)
	50-54yr	5(2.4)	---	---
	55-59yr	4(1.9)	0.95(0.12-7.4)	2.2(0.06-79.5)
	60-64yr	1(0.5)	---	---
	>64yr	1(0.5)	---	---
2	Marital status: Single	119(56.7)	1	1
	Married	87(41.4)	1.48(0.84-2.6)*	0.88(0.36-2.1)
	Divorce	4(1.9)	0.86(0.1-6.3)	1.2(0.004-416.5)
3	Sex: Male	54(25.7)	1	***
	Female	156(74.3)	0.9(0.49-1.7)	
4	Year of experience: 1-5yr	134(63.8)	1	1
	6-10yr	38(18.1)	1.9(0.89-4.1)*	0.68(0.24-2.0)
	11-15yr	4(1.9)	0.88(0.12-6.5)	0.07(0.001-3.96)
	16-20yr	5(2.4)	0.59(0.1-3.65)	0.69(0.05-10.1)
	>20yr	29(13.8)	2.0(0.84-4.6)*	0.48(0.035-6.5)
5	Educational level: BSc	202(96.2)	1	***
	MSc and above	8(3.8)	0.73(0.18-2.99)	
6	Nurse : Staff nurse	166(79)	1	***
	Head nurse	44(21)	1.4(0.69-2.7)	
7	Knowledge: Have no Knowledge	108(51.4)	1	1
	Have Knowledge	102(48.6)	4.6(2.6-8.4)*	3.2(1.5-7.0)
8	Skill: Have no skill	102(48.6)	1	1
	Have skill	108(51.4)	5.2(2.77-9.15)*	2.4(1.1-5.4)
9	Time : Have no Free Time	159(75.7)	1	1
	Have Free Time	51(24.3)	6.9(3.75-12.8)*	7.9(3.5-17.6)
10	Attitude : Negative Attitude	55(26.2)	1	***
	Positive Attitude	155(73.8)	1.3(0.7-2.4)	
11	Perception : Negative perception	21(10)	1	***
	Positive perception	189(90)	1.26(0.5-3.1)	
12	Nursing managers: not supportive	31(14.8)	1	1
	Supportive	179(85.2)	2.5(1.13-5.4)*	5(1.7-14.5)

Key: *(Nursing managers, time, marital status, age, experience, skill, knowledge) were have $\alpha < 0.3$ analyzed in multi-variate and *** were not included in multi-variate as $\alpha > 0.3$

From the in-depth interview the top three prioritized barriers for integration of EBP were: 1) lack of training, 2) workload and insufficient time, 3) lack of role model.

5. Discussion

It has been noted in the last 30 years, the practice of nursing has been trending from relying on expert opinion to the application of clinical research. The goal of EBP is to analyze research, examine its clinical relevance, and integrate the findings into practice. (2) The EBP approach utilizes empirical, verifiable and research supported data that ensure nursing practice is based upon the scientific method. (5) It is important that EBP data is current, relevant and applicable, and takes patient preferences in its application. This study assesses perception of nurses and barriers of implementation of EBP with the research hypothesis of individual and organizational factors affect the implementation of EBP.

5.1. Socio-demographic Characteristics

The response rate (96.8%) obtained in this study was higher than that obtained in other studies which percentages of 60.9% (64) or even lowers (48). However, they are very similar, as far as the proportion of men and women 1 man for every 3 women and 40% the age of the nurses surveyed were between 25-29 unlike the study conducted in Spain with mean age over 40 years and 1man for every 6 women. (64) Regarding to the professional experience 63% had 1-5yrs experience which is analogues with Singapore which is (53%). (64) But study conducted in Spain have 53% over 20yrs. (64) With respect to the academic level this study have BSc and MSc and above which accounts 96.25 and 3.8%, respectively. unlike study conducted in Singapore which includes diploma in nursing (41.0%), advanced diploma (14.8%), bachelor's nursing (41.4%) and master's (2.3%).(6) But the proportion of BSc to MSc was comparable.

5.2. Perception and Attitude of Nurses Towards Evidence Based Practice

The perceptions of nurses towards integration of evidence based practice in their clinical practice were good which accounts (90%)? There was also a positive attitude (73.8%) towards EBP. This result was also supported from the in-depth interview in which most of the respondents suggest "*it is important for the quality of care but the workload, lack of knowledge and training makes us to follow the prior experience or rely on experts opinions*". This finding was similar The study was conducted In South Africa trained ICU nurses had well coming attitude towards EBP (75%)

However there was no association in both perception (OR: 1.26, 95%CI: 0.5-3.1) and attitude (OR: 1.3, 95%CI: 0.7-2.4) with implementation of EBP

Additionally, Study done in Singapore which found more than 64% of the nurses expressed a positive attitude toward EBP. However, they pointed out that due to heavy workload,

they cannot keep up to date with new evidence. (65) Similarly one study agreed that nurses had a positive and welcoming attitude toward EBP. Yet, relying on expert opinions rather than EBP was the most common way nurses learn how to practice nursing. Although there was much literature regarding EBP, most of the surveyed nurses either did not know of current evidence-based practices nor did they have the time or support to implement those practices.(51) similar Waters et al. (2009) found nurses generally had a positive and welcoming attitude toward EBP.(52)

Furthermore, study from Finland and Iran also found the majority of nurses had positive attitudes toward EBP. However, patient load, heavy workloads and insufficient staffing inhibited the implementation of EBP. Additionally, nurses in this study believed they did not have the power to implement EBP. Nurses reported physician orders not nursing research-directed clinical practice. (49, 45)

In this study nursing leaders have no significant difference with nursing staff in perception towards implementation EBP. This finding was contradicted the study in USA which resulted nurses in management positions significantly differed with staff nurses in perception. This may be related with lack of managerial skill and training on EBP. (43)

5.3. Barriers of Evidence Based Practice Among Nurses in TASH

The determinant factors of implementation of EBP were analyzed in both bivariate and multi-variate level. Similarly nurses were asked to indicate the importance of different factors through in-depth interview. Knowledge has significant association with implementation of EBP. Those who have knowledge were implementing EBP 3times than who have no knowledge about EBP. This was also supported from the in-depth interview as most of them reported lack of knowledge as one factor. A similar significant differences in the Knowledge ($p = 0.023$) was reported in Spain. (64)

In this study skill was also significant with EBP with AOR: 2.4, 95%CI 1.1-5.4. This finding was consistent with finding of Straus et.al 2013 with $p=0.023$ and nurses with better skills reported fewer barriers to evidence-based practice. (63) Furthermore study conducted in Australia also shows the low use of online medical databases due to lack of adequate search skills and searching experience is a barrier to implementing evidence-based medicine. (67)

This study also ends up with statistical significant difference in availability of time and implementation of EBP with AOR: 7.9, 95%CI: 3.5-17.6. This finding was analogous with the integrative review and single study from South Africa University highlighted lack of time as a major barrier to adopting EBP (68-69). Similarly study from Finland indicates head nurses do not have the time to study research articles and that was rare for head nurses to discuss article findings with staff and even staff nurses do not have the time to search researching findings. (49). Moreover study on Iranian nurses shows patient load, heavy workloads and insufficient staffing inhibited the implementation of EBP. (45) But study from

Australia shows no significant association between time and implementation of EBP. (44) This difference may be related with patient load.

In any sub-scales of the clinical experiences there was no association with implementation of EBP as this study indicated. This was Similar with the study conducted on USA found no significant differences in any of the subscales based on years of nursing experience.(43) similarly other study also shows not a statistically significant relationship between the use of EBP and years of experience as a head nurses.(49).

But one study shows junior clinical nurses have reported more barriers compared with senior clinical nurses in regard to accessing organizational information such as clinical guidelines and protocols, access to EBP resources, and having time for practicing EBP. (50) This may be related with few observations of experience in the sub-scale of clinical experience.

This study also shows supportive nursing managers have association with implementation of EBP (AOR: 5, 95%CI: 1.7-14.5). This was supported by two studies which show Supportive leadership has been identified as being strongly associated with nurses' EBP. (61-62).

Furthermore study from Spain shows, nurses have declared the need for a mentor to guide them along the search and implementation of evidence(60), other studies also identified managers, not only as a key factor for the generation and implementation of EBCP, but also for the creation of a good research environment.(56-59)

In this study both educational level (BSc, verses MSc and above) and level of position (staff verses head nurses) have no association with the implementation of EBP. But in other studies nurses with a higher educational level, such as a Master's degree or qualifications at an advanced level, have association with practice of EBP compared with nurses with lower qualifications. This difference may be related with few observations in this study.

Ages of nurses (30-34yrs) have association compared with the age of 20-24yrs in implementation of EBP with AOR: 9.47, 95%CI: 2.4-36.7. This may be related with development of skill, relationship with experts.

The levels of uses in this study were 52.8%, 31.4% and 15.7%, sometimes, usually and always, respectively. This result were comparable with the study conducted in South Africa which found

35.6%, 32.9% and 31.5% use EBP frequently, moderately and rarely, respectively. (72) In this study use EBP sometimes more. This might be related with lack of training on EBP.

6. Conclusion and Recommendation

6.1. Conclusion

Even though perception and attitude towards EBP have no association with implementation of EBP, 90% and 73.8% have good perception and positive attitude respectively. Similarly from the in-depth interview most of the respondents had welcoming attitude but they report lack of training, workload

and insufficient time were the three top prioritized obstacles. 57.6% of nurses were integrated EBP in their clinical practice. Only 19 (15.7%) use EBP in their clinical practice always.

Knowledge, skill, time and supportive nursing managers have significant association with the implementation of EBP. Those who had knowledge, skill, free time and supportive nursing managers implement EBP than those who have no knowledge, skill, free time and supportive nursing managers.

Generally, both individual (knowledge and skill) and organizational (lack of training, workload, insufficient time and supportive managers) factors are the predominant factors that hinders implementation of EBP. Hospital management needs to make necessary adjustments in the work schedule of nurses to ensure sufficient time for them to learn and implement EBP.

References

- [1] Kania-Lachance DM, Best PJ, McDonah MR, Ghosh AK. Evidence-based practice and the nurse practitioner. *The Nurse Practitioner*. 2006;31(10):46-54.
- [2] Schmidt NAB, J. M. editor. Evidence-based practice for nurses. Sudbury: Jones and Bartlett Publishers; 2009.
- [3] Buchan J, Aiken L. Solving nursing shortages: a common priority. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2008;17(24):3262-8.
- [4] Boström A-M, Rudman A, Ehrenberg A, Gustavsson JP, Wallin L. Factors associated with evidence-based practice among registered nurses in Sweden: a national cross-sectional study. *BMC health services research*. 2013;13(1):165.
- [5] Pintz CISLMT-LE, : Evidence-based decision-making. *Decision-making in nursing*. (2008); Thoughtful approaches for practice (pp. 145-158). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers. .
- [6] Majid S, Foo S, Luyt B, Zhang X, Theng Y-L, Chang Y-K, et al. Adopting evidence-based practice in clinical decision making: nurses' perceptions, knowledge, and barriers. *Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA*. 2011;99(3):229.
- [7] Greiner AC, Knebel E. Health professions education: a bridge to quality: National Academies Press; 2003.
- [8] Medicine Io, editor. Crossing the Quality Chasm. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
- [9] Janet Houser and Kathleen S. Oman.p ASA, Patricia Donnelly, Rachel Shuster editor. Evidence-Based Practice in Health Care pade1-2 Kevin Sullivan Acquisitions; 2011.
- [10] Noteboom JT, Allison SC, Cleland JA, Whitman JM. A Primer on Selected Aspects of Evidence-Based Practice Relating to Questions of Treatment, Part 2: Interpreting Results, Application to Clinical Practice, and Self-Evaluation. *journal of orthopaedic & sports physical therapy*. 2008;38(8):485.
- [11] Grol R, . GJ. From best evidence to best practice: effective implementation of change in patients' care. *Lancet* 2003, :362(9391):1225-30.
- [12] Sanders D, Haines A. Implementation research is needed to achieve international health goals. *PLoS Medicine*. 2006;3(6):e186.

- [13] Roberts JM, Villar J, S: A. Preventing and treating eclamptic seizures. *BMJ*. 2002;325(7365):609-10.
- [14] Davis DA, A T-V. Translating guidelines into practice: a systematic review of theoretic concepts, practical experience and research evidence in the adoption of clinical practice guidelines. *Can Med Assoc J*. 1997;157(4):408-16.
- [15] Dijkstra R, Wensing M, Thomas R, Akkermans R, Braspenning J, Grimshaw J, et al. The relationship between organisational characteristics and the effects of clinical guidelines on medical performance in hospitals, a meta-analysis. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2006;6(1):53.
- [16] Tweed, E., Sauers, E., Valovich McLeod, T., Guo, R., Trahan, H., Kristine, M., et al., Review of librarians of evidence-based practice in nursing and allied health professions in the United States. *Journal of Medical Library Association*, 2007. vol. 95, no. 4, pp. 394-407.
- [17] McInerney, P. Evidence-based nursing and midwifery: The state of the science in South Africa. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 4th quarter, 2004 pp. 207-208.
- [18] Bakken S, Currie LM, Lee N-J, Roberts WD, Collins SA, Cimino JJ. Integrating evidence into clinical information systems for nursing decision support. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2008;77(6):413-20.
- [19] Anne Dalheim, Nortvedt SHRMNaMW. evidence-based practice among nurses a self-report survey.5.
- [20] Sitzia J. Barriers to research utilisation: the clinical setting and nurses themselves. *Intensive & Critical Care Nursing*. 2002;18(4):230-43.
- [21] Glasziou P, Haynes B. The paths from research to improved health outcomes. *Evidence Based Nursing*. 2005;8(2):36-8.
- [22] Thompson C, McCaughan D, Cullum N, Sheldon TA, Mulhall A, DR: T. Research information in nurses' clinical decision-making: what is useful? *J Adv Nurs*. 2001;36(3):376-88.
- [23] Klein R. The NHS and the new scientism: solution or delusion? *QJM*. 1996;89(1):85-7.
- [24] Bryar RM, Closs SJ, Baum G, Cooke J, Griffiths J, Hostick T, et al. The Yorkshire BARRIERS project: diagnostic analysis of barriers to research utilisation. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2003;40(1):73-84.
- [25] McKenna HP, Ashton S, S: K. Barriers to evidence-based practice in primary care. *J Adv Nurs*. 2004;45(2):178-89.
- [26] De Pedro Gómez JE, Morales Asencio Las organizaciones ¿favorecen o dificultan una práctica enfermera basada en la evidencia? *Index Enferm JM*. 2004;13:26-31.
- [27] Aiken LH, Patrician PA. Measuring organizational traits of hospitals: the Revised Nursing Work Index *Nurs Res*. 2000;49(3):146-53.
- [28] Estabrooks CA, Tourangeau AE, Humphrey CK, Hesketh KL, Giovannetti P, Thomson D, et al. Measuring the hospital practice environment: Canadian context *Res Nurs Health*. 2002;25(4):256-68.
- [29] JuvéUdina ME, Farrero Muñoz S, Monterde Prat D, Hernández Villen O, Sistac Robles M, Rodríguez Cala A, et al. Analysis of an organizational context in nursing practice. *The Nursing Work Index in public hospitals [Spanish]* *Metas Enferm*. 2007;10(7):67-73.
- [30] Kramer M, C: S. The Practice of Clinical Autonomy in-hospitals: 20 000 Nurses Tell Their Story. *Crit Care Nurse*. 2008;28(6):58-71.
- [31] Lucero RJ, Lake ET, LH: A. Variations in nursing care quality across hospitals. *J Adv Nurs*. 2009;65(11):2299-310.
- [32] Needleman J, Buerhaus P, Mattke S, Stewart M, K: Z. Nurse-staffing levels and the quality of care in hospitals. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(22):1715-22.
- [33] Van Bogaert P, Meulemans H, Clarke S, Vermeyen K, P: VdH. Hospital nurse practice environment, burnout, job outcomes and quality of care: test of a structural equation model. *J Adv Nurs*. 2009;65(10):2175-85.
- [34] de Pedro-Gomez J, Morales-Asencio JM, Sese Abad A, Bannasar Veny M, Artigues Vives G, C: PC. Nursing practice settings and competence to incorporate evidence into decisions: analysis of the situation in the Balearic Islands (Spain). *Gac Sanit/SESPAS*. 2011;25(3):191-7.
- [35] Grol R. Successes and failures in the implementation of evidence-based guidelines for clinical practice. *Med Care*. 2001;39(8 Suppl 2):II46-II54.
- [36] Nortvedt MW, Jamtvedt G, Graverholdt B, LM:Oslo: R. Å Arbeide og Undervise Kunnskapsbasert: en Arbeidsbok for Sykepleiere. . Norwegian Nurses' Organization; 2007.
- [37] Health: NDo. It's Going to Get Better! National Strategy for Quality Improvement in Health and Social Services (2005-2015) for Leaders and Providers. Oslo: Norwegian Directorate of Health; 2005..
- [38] World Health Organization(WHO), editor. Bridging the "Know-Do" Gap. . Geneva: 2006.
- [39] Shirey, M, Amy Sibley, Patricia Donnelly, Janet Houser, S. K. .) Op, editors. Evidence-based practice: 13th ed: Kevin Sullivan; 2006 an implementation guide for healthcare organizations.
- [40] Melnyk B. Advancing Evidence-Based Practice in Clinical and Academic Settings. . *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 2005;2(3):161-5.
- [41] Newhouse, R. P., Dearholt, S. P, S., Pugh LC, & White, M: K. Evidencebased practice: a practical approach to implementation. *J Nurs Adm*. 2005;35(1):35-40.
- [42] Fineout-Overholt E, & Johnston: . Teaching EBP: Implementation of evidence: Moving from evidence to action. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 2006;4th Quarter:194-200.
- [43] Meraviglia M, Grobe SJ, Tabone S, Wainwright M, Shelton S, Miner H, et al. Creating a positive work environment: implementation of the nurse-friendly hospital criteria. *Journal of Nursing Administration*. 2009;39(2):64-70.
- [44] Middleton S, Griffiths R, Fernandez R, B: S. Nursing practice environment: How does one Australian hospital compare with magnet hospitals? *Int J Nurs Pract* 2008;14(5):366-72.

- [45] McCloskey, J. D. Nurses' perceptions of research utilization in a corporate health care system. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, . 2008;40(1): 39-45.
- [46] Sherriff, K. L., Wallis, M. C. Nurses' attitudes to and perceptions of knowledge and skills regarding evidence-based practice. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, . 2007;13:363-9.
- [47] Adib-Hajbaghery M. Factors facilitating and inhibiting evidence-based nursing in Iran. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, . 2007;58(6):566-75.
- [48] Mashiach. EM67. Implementation of evidence-based nursing practice: nurses' personal and professional factors? *J Adv Nurs*. :2011,;1:33-42.
- [49] Brown CE, Ecoff L, Kim SC, Wickline MA, Rose B, Klimpel K, et al. Multiinstitutional study of barriers to research utilisation and evidence-based practice among hospital nurses. *J Clin Nurs*. 2010,;19.:1944-51.
- [50] Gerrish K, Guillaume L, Kirshbaum M, McDonnell A, Tod A, M: N. Factors influencing the contribution of advanced practice nurses to promoting evidence-based practice among front-line nurses: findings from a cross-sectional survey. *J Adv Nurs*, . 2011;67(5):1079-90.
- [51] Johansson, B., Fogelberg-Dahm, M., Wadensten B. Evidence-based practice: The importance of education and leadership. *Journal of Nursing Management*, . 2010;18, :70-7.
- [52] Gerrish K, Ashworth P, Lacey A, Bailey J. Developing evidence - based practice: experiences of senior and junior clinical nurses. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2008;62(1):62-73.
- [53] Rolfe, G., Segrott, J. J, S. Tensions and contradictions in nurses' perspectives of evidence-based practice. *Journal of Nursing Management*, . 2008;16:440-51.
- [54] Waters D, Crisp J, Rychetnik L, A: B. The Australian experience of nurses' preparedness for evidence-based practice. *J Nurs Manag*. 2009,;17(4):510-8.
- [55] Alleyne J, JUMAA MO. Building the capacity for evidence - based clinical nursing leadership: the role of executive co - coaching and group clinical supervision for quality patient services. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 2007;15(2):230-43.
- [56] Upton D, Upton P. Development of an evidence - based practice questionnaire for nurses. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2006;53(4):454-8.
- [57] Munroe D, Duffy P, C. F. Nursing knowledge, skills, and attitudes related to evidence-based practice: before and after organizational supports. *Medsurg Nurs*. 2008,;17(1):55-6.
- [58] Shirey MR. Authentic leaders creating healthy work environments for nursing practice. *American Journal of Critical Care*. 2006;15(3):256-67.
- [59] Strout TD, Lancaster K, Schultz AA. Development and implementation of an inductive model for evidence-based practice: a grassroots approach for building evidence-based practice capacity in staff nurses. *Nursing Clinics of North America*. 2009;44(1):93-102.
- [60] Brown CE, Wickline MA, Ecoff L, Glaser D. Nursing practice, knowledge, attitudes and perceived barriers to evidence - based practice at an academic medical center. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2009;65(2):371-81.
- [61] Melnyk BM, Fineout - Overholt E, Fischbeck Feinstein N, Li H, Small L, Wilcox L, et al. Nurses' Perceived Knowledge, Beliefs, Skills, and Needs Regarding Evidence - Based Practice: Implications for Accelerating the Paradigm Shift. *Worldviews on Evidence - Based Nursing*. 2004;1(3):185-93.
- [62] Sancho Viudes S, Vidal Thomàs C, Cañellas Pons R, Caldés Pinilla MJ, Corcoll Reixach J, Ramos Montserrat M. Current status analysis and suggestions for improvement in primary care nursing in Mallorca, Spain: a research with focal groups. *Revista Española de Salud Pública*. 2002;76(5):531-43.
- [63] Sandström B, Borglin G, Nilsson R, Wasman A. Promoting the Implementation of Evidence - Based Practice: A Literature Review Focusing on the Role of Nursing Leadership. *Worldviews on Evidence - Based Nursing*. 2011;8(4):212-23.
- [64] Gifford W, Davies B, Edwards N, Griffin P, Lybanon V. Managerial leadership for nurses' use of research evidence: an integrative review of the literature. *Worldviews on Evidence - Based Nursing*. 2007;4(3):126-45.
- [65] Straus SE, Tetroe J, Graham ID. Knowledge translation in health care: moving from evidence to practice: John Wiley & Sons; 2013.
- [66] González-Torrente S, Pericas-Beltrán J, Bennasar-Veny M, Adrover-Barceló R, Morales-Asencio J, De Pedro-Gómez J. Perception of evidence-based practice and the professional environment of Primary Health Care nurses in the Spanish context: a cross-sectional study. *BMC health services research*. 2012;12(1):227.
- [67] Griffiths J, Bryar R, Closs S, Cooke J, Hostick T, Kelly S. Barriers to research implementation by community nurses. *British Journal of Community Nursing*. 2001;6(10):501-10.
- [68] O'Connor S, Pettigrew CM. The barriers perceived to prevent the successful implementation of evidence - based practice by speech and language therapists. *International journal of language & communication disorders*. 2009;44(6):1018-35.
- [69] Young JM, Ward JE. Evidence - based medicine in general practice: beliefs and barriers among Australian GPs. *Journal of evaluation in clinical practice*. 2001;7(2):201-10.
- [70] McInerney P, Suleman F. Exploring Knowledge, Attitudes, and Barriers Toward the Use of Evidence - Based Practice Amongst Academic Health Care Practitioners in Their Teaching in SAU: A Pilot Study. *Worldviews on Evidence - Based Nursing*. 2010;7(2):90-7.
- [71] Solomons NM, JA: S. Evidence-based practice barriers and facilitators from a continuous quality improvement perspective: an integrative review. *J Nurs Manag*. 2010Jan [cited 21 Mar 2011];19(1):109-20
- [72] Wezzie K. Challenges of implementation of EBP in SA in ICU, May, URL: <http://www.hst.org.za/sahr> 2012 PAGE 69
- [73] Gomes, V. Knowledge of intensive care nurses on evidence-based guidelines for prevention of ventilator associated pneumonia. [Dissertation]. Park town. University of the Witwatersrand. 2010.